IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

Case No. C-1-02-479

JEFFERSON-PILOT LIFE INSURANCE CO., )
Plaintiff;

V. )
CHRISTOPHER L. KEARNEY, )
Defendant )

DEPOSITION OF: TODD DITMAR, taken before
Sharon R. Roy, Notary Public Stenographer, pursuant
to Rule 30 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil
Procedure, at the law offices of ACCURATE COURT
REPORTING, 1500 Main Street, Springfield,
Massachusetts on May 13, 2004 commencing at 9:29 a.m.

(See Page 2)

Sharon R. Roy Certified Shorthand Reporter Registered Professional Reporter

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

WOOD & LAMPING LLP 600 Vine Street, Suite 2500 Cincinnati, OH 45202-2491 513-852-6000 BY: WILLIAM R. ELLIS, ESQ.

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

GRAYDON HEAD & RITCHEY LLP 1900 Fifth Third Center 511 Walnut Street Cincinnati, OH 45201 513-621-6464 BY: MICHAEL A. ROBERTS, ESQ.

Also Present:

Adam E. Formus

Joanne Yacavone, Videographer

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

(Exhibits 27 and 28, marked)

3

INDEX WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE Todd Ditmar Direct by Mr. Roberts 1.0 EXHIBITS: PAGE: Exhibit 27: Jefferson-Pilot Life Ins. Co.
monthly inventory reports ...... 4 Exhibit 28: 20 pages of screen prints ..... 4 Exhibit 29: Jefferson-Pilot Life Ins. Co. monthly inventory reports ...... 97 Exhibit 30: Yearly Performance Plans and Reviews . 65 Exhibit 31: 11/18/03 letter to Michael Roberts Exhibit 32: Yearly Performance Plans and Reviews .106 Exhibit 33: Affidavit of Todd Ditmar ...... 119 Exhibit 34: Transcript of Todd Ditmar deposition taken 10/28/03 ...... 125 Exhibit 35: Response to Document Request No. 18 . 125 Exhibit 36: Response to Document Request No. 14 . 127 Exhibit 37: DMS check request forms ...... 128 Exhibit 38: 12/21/01 letter to William Dempsey from Geraldine Johnson ...... Exhibit 39: 6/27/01 letter to Christopher Kearney 

09:29:40 1

MR. ELLIS: Let me put on the record before you even swear the witnesses, I am producing to Counsel this morning five pages representing the monthly reports between DMS and Jefferson-Pilot Insurance Company for the years 2000 through 2004. I'm also producing a 20-page document which demonstrates the computer screens for both of Mr. Kearney's policies that would be present in the DMS claim system. MR. ROBERTS: Counsel, is there a reason these weren't produced prior to today? MR. ELLIS: I didn't have them prior to today. MR. ROBERTS: Well, the plaintiffs have been under an obligation to produce these for over a year. What is the reason we're just getting them this morning, one minute before I take a 30(b)(6) deposition? MR. ELLIS: I just gave them to you. I didn't have them before yesterday. MR. ROBERTS: Did you request them

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

before yesterday?

#### Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB 5 Document 193 Filed 09/07/2007 Page 2 of 30 6

09:30:45 1	MR. ELLIS: I wasn't involved in the
2	case as far as the original request
09:30:49 3	MR. ROBERTS: When did you file your
4	notice of appearance and when did you review
5	the discovery requests?
09:30:56 6	MR. ELLIS: I'll discuss it with the
7	Court when you file your motion. I'm
8	producing them to you today.
09:31:03 9	MR. ROBERTS: What else is
10	being withheld?
09:31:04 11	Why are you silent? Why aren't you
12	answering the question? Why the long pause?
09:31:08 13	MR. ELLIS: I don't know the answer
14	to your question. Do you want to keep going
15	on the record about
оэзіні <b>16</b>	MR. ROBERTS: You don't know what
17	other information you're withholding? Tell me
18	what other information you're withholding.
0931:17 <b>19</b>	MR. ELLIS: I know that we were
20	withholding information concerning the
21	contracts between DMS and Jefferson-Pilot and
22	other material listed on the privilege log
23	which is being prepared for you.
09:31:28 24	MR. ROBERTS: Where is the privilege
	I ·

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

1 log? 09:31:29 2 MR. ELLIS: And while we're on the subject -- the privilege log is being prepared 3 4 in Cincinnati. MR. ROBERTS: Well, we're going to 5 continue this deposition until I have a 6 7 complete privilege log, so we'll go forward 8 today and we'll continue in progress. 09:31.42 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 09:32:04 17 18 19 20

MR. ELLIS: While we are on the subject, Counsel has marked in prior depositions Exhibit 2, which is purportedly a copy of the claims assessment agreement between Disability Management Services and Jefferson-Pilot bearing an exhibit sticker P-129 originally, now marked Exhibit 2 in this My information is that this claims

assessment agreement was produced in another case and was under a protective order. Counsel, can you tell me the source of your document?

MR. ROBERTS: I have no obligation to tell you the source of that document. Nor do I have any information that it was under a

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

7

protective order. But it's now in my possession, therefore it's a public document. Are you concerned that the defendant in this lawsuit has acquired a document that he tried to conceal that describes the relationship between the parties? MR. ELLIS: I'm suggesting that

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

19

20

22

24

09:32:51 13

09:32:55 16

69:32:56 18

09:33:01 21

09:33:04 23

09:32:35 7

Counsel in this case has a document that was under a protective order of confidentiality, and that wherever Counsel got it, it may be in violation of such court order, hence I'm asking for the source of the document.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay, are you suggesting that I violated a protective order that I never saw or signed, Counsel?

MR. ELLIS: I haven't suggested anything. I asked you a question --

MR. ROBERTS: Before you start threatening and accusing me of misbehavior, you need to get your facts straight.

MR. ELLIS: I didn't do either. I asked you for the source. That's all I asked. MR. ROBERTS: Where is the 1997

agreement between Employers Resource and DM5?

18

19

21

22

23

09:33:43 20

09:33:54 24

21

23

24

09:32:14 22

09:33:10 1

MR. ELLIS: Not an issue, as far as I'm concerned.

MR. ROBERTS: Will you tell me what the issues are in the case so I'm set straight?

MR. ELLIS: If you don't know, Counsel, I can't help you.

MR. ROBERTS: The issues are what you decide they are, is that right, regardless of what the other party thinks?

MR. ELLIS: Are you finished? MR. ROBERTS: No, I'm not finished with you, I'd just like a direct answer to a direct question.

MR. ELLIS: The issue in the case is whether this gentleman is entitled to COLA and Social Security or not. You know it, I know it, that's what the Court's going to decide May 26.

MR. ROBERTS: What about bad faith, is that an issue? Or is this like Geoffries where bad faith isn't an issue until you pay a \$2 million settlement?

MR. ELLIS: Mr. Geoffries got what

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

09:34:29 5

BE14-29 6 09:34:29 7

09:34:29 8

09:34.29 09:34:29 10

09:30:05 11

09:36:13 15

09:36:24 17

09:36:33 20

09:36:43 24

12

13

14

16

18

19

21

22

23

swear

1	the contract provided, period.	
o9:33:57 <b>2</b>	MR. ROBERTS: Okay. What about the	
3	jury's decision that it was bad faith; was bad	
4	faith an issue once the jury decided it was	
5	bad faith?	
₩34:04 <b>6</b>	MR. ELLIS: Are you talking about	
7	the summary jury?	
09/34:06 8	MR. ROBERTS: The jury that was	
9	impaneled had determined that it was in bad	
10	faith. Did bad faith become an issue once the	
11	jury decided it was bad faith?	
09:34:12 12	MR. ELLIS: The jury that was	
13	impaneled was the one for the trial which you	
14	elected not to proceed with.	
09:34:21 15	MR. ROBERTS: Because you caved in.	
16	By the way, I didn't have any witnesses to go	
17	that afternoon.	
09:34:25 18	MR. ELLIS: Whatever version you	
19	have.	
09:34:26 20	MR. ROBERTS: Are you ready,	
21	Mr. Dítmar?	
09:34:28 22	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
09:34:29 23	MR. ROBERTS: Let's swear you in.	
09:34:29 24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The caption of	

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

the case is Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance
Company, Plaintiff, versus Christopher L.
Kearney, Defendant, Case No. C-1-02-479.
Would the court reporter please

TODD DITMAR, Deponent, having first been duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERTS:

in the witness.

- Q. Mr. Ditmar, my name is Mike Roberts. We met briefly this morning. Would you kindly state your name and residence address for the record, please?
- My name is Todd Ditmar. My address is 1350 Main Street, Springfield, Massachusetts 01103.
- Q. Sir, I might need to serve you with a subpoena at your residence address. That's your work address. What is your residence address?

MR. ELLIS: Objection. Given the nature of this case and the policies of DMS, personal information concerning employees will not be divulged.

MR. ROBERTS: Let's go off the

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

11

09:37:36 09:43.44 6

09:37:28 1

09:37:33 3

2

4

7 09:43:48 8

9 10

09:44:00 12 13

15

04:44:09 17

18 09:44:15 19

20

12

MR. ROBERTS: Not if he's no longer employed at DMS come trial time.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 9:36 a.m.

(Off record discussion)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at 9:43 a.m.

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Mr. Ditmar, we went off the record to consult with the Court and we'll get back with the Court about that and potentially other issues as this deposition proceeds.

You've been called to testify today for a couple reasons, one as the corporate representative and one because you're a fact witness to the Kearney claim; are you mindful of that?

A. Yes.

Q. In fact, your involvement with the Kearney claim dates back to 1997; are you mindful of that?

A. I was asked to consult on the Christopher Kearney claim back in 1997 or 1998, yes.

Today is May 13th of 2004. When was the last time you reviewed the Kearney claim file?

I had looked at a few of the documents in the claim file on Tuesday and Wednesday.

1 record and call the Court. 09:36:46 2 Q. (By Mr. Roberts) You're taking Mr. Ellis' 3 council to not disclose your residence address when 4 I've indicated to you that it may be, and probably will be, required that I subpoena you in the case? 09:37:00 6 MR. ELLIS: In the event you need to 7 subpoena him, I will accept the subpoena or it 8 will be accepted at DMS's office. MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Ellis, you and I 09:37:02 9 10 have been engaged in litigation for two years. 11 You have misrepresented facts to me throughout 12 that two-year period. I do not trust that you 13 will give me an address in the future. Na.17:11 14 Q. (By Mr. Roberts) So, Mr. Ditmar, once 15 again, are you refusing to give me your residence 16 address? 09:37:19 17 A. Yes. 09:37:19 18 MR. ELLIS: Mr. Ditmar will 19 provide --09:37:20 20 MR. ROBERTS: Let's go off the 21 record. 09:37:22 22 MR. ELLIS: -- and has provided the 23 address of his employment where he may be 24 served with a subpoena --

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (U13) 7U7-180K

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

11

14

09:44.09 16

09:44:24 21

22 09:44:32 23

09:44:40 1	Q. Was that with Mr. Ellis?		
09:44:43 2	<ol> <li>He was present in the room.</li> </ol>		
19914445 3	Q. Who else was present?		
09:44:49 4	A. At the time I looked at the claim file, I'm		
5	not sure.		
D9-44:54 6	Q. Was there anyone present?		
OP:44:56 7	A. There may have been. I was looking at the		
8	file. Other people were looking at the documents.		
09:45:03	Q. Were you in a room?		
09:45:05 10	A. We were in a conference room.		
09:45:06 11	Q. Okay, and you can't tell me under oath		
12	whether or not there was another human being in the		
13	room other than you and Mr. Ellis?		
19:45:14 14	A. There were different people in the room.		
09:45:16 15	Q. Who can you recall being in the room at any		
16	given time during that meeting?		
09:45:21 17	<ol> <li>Bob Mills was in the room at some point.</li> </ol>		
18	Bill Hughes was in the room at some point. Bob		
19	Bonsall was in the room at some point. Janet Beattie		
20	was in the room at some point.		
09:45:39 21	Q. Anyone else?		
09:45:40 22	A. Not that I can recall.		
04:45:52 23	Q. How long did the meeting take?		
09:45:56 24	MR. ELLIS: Objection. That's		
	AGINT AND IN CONTROL OF THE STATE OF		

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

Page 4 of 30 14 1 privileged. 2 MR. ROBERTS: Counsel, how long a 3 meeting takes is not a privileged 4 communication. Now, are you going to instruct 5 this witness not to answer the length of a 6 meeting because that reveals some privileged 7 information? 8 MR. ELLIS: Just making the 9 objection for the record. I haven't 10 instructed the witness in any way. 09:46:19 11 Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Okay, I think that Mr. Ellis is allowing you to answer, Mr. Ditmar. 12 13 Could you tell me how long the meeting took? <sub>09:46:26</sub> 14 A. I was in the conference room on Tuesday and 15 Wednesday at various points throughout the day. 09:46:13 16

17

18

20

23 24

89:46:41 19

09:46:46 21

09:46:50 22

Q. How much time on Tuesday and Wednesday did you consume in these conference room meetings with Mr. Ellis?

A. I'd say approximately four to five hours each day.

And what documents did you review?

I recall reviewing Ms. Beattie's field report from her meeting with Mr. Kearney and a few of the letters that I had sent out to Mr. Kearney in

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (613) 747-1806

15

		15
1	1997 or 1	998.
09:47:00 2	Q.	Anything else you recall?
09:47:12 3	A.	Not that I can recall.
09:47 13 4	Q.	You didn't review the policy or the riders,
5	is that yo	our testimony?
09:47:14 6	A.	I believe I may have looked at the policy.
09:47:21 7	Q.	How about the riders?
04:47:23 8	A.	I believe the riders were included in the
9	policy.	
09:47:26 10	Q.	How much time did you spend reviewing the
11	policies a	nd the riders?
09:47:30 12	Α.	I don't recall.
09:47:30 13	Q.	You understand there's two policies,
14	correct?	
09-42:33 15	A.	Yes.
09:47:33 16	Q.	And two sets of riders?
09.47.36 17	A.	I don't know how many riders are on the
18	policy.	
09:47:39 19	Q.	Did you review any deposition testimony
20	taken las	t week in North Carolina of JP's
21	represen	tatives?
09:47:49 22	Α.	No, I did not.
99:47:49 23	Q.	Did Mr. Ellis share with you the substance
24	and any d	etail of that testimony?
	l	

MR. ELLIS: Objection. 09:47:54 09:47:54 2 Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Go ahead. (19:47:55 3 No, he did not. 09:47:56 4 He did not share with you the substance of 5 any of the testimony? 09:48:00 6 No, he did not. 09:48:08 7 Other than Mr. Mills, Mr. Hughes, Mr. Bonsall, Ms. Beattie, and Mr. Ellis, have you 8 9 discussed today's deposition or the Kearney claim 10 with anyone in the past two weeks? 09:48:20 11 A. Not that I can recall. 09:48:26 12 Q. Did Mr. Ellis share with you that DMS was 13 14 decision in Hamilton County, Ohio? 09/48/36 15 16 09:48:39 17 18 19 20 bad faith in its handling of a disability claim? 09:48:49 21 22 23 24

16

determined to be in bad faith in a recent jury MR. ELLIS: Objection. And you don't have to answer that, that's privileged. Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Are you mindful, sir, from any source that there was a jury determination in Hamilton County, Ohio last month that DMS was in MR. ELLIS: I also object that that misstates the fact. There is no jury finding of bad faith in Ohio other than during a summary jury trial which was conducted in a

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

20

	Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB <sub>17</sub>
1	manner that is not akin to a jury verdict; it
2	was a settlement tool. And we'll also object
3	under 408 that settlement issues are not to be
4	discussed in other cases.
∌⊪ <b>5</b>	Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Are you mindful, sir, of
6	that, other than Mr. Ellis' admission that that
7	happened just now?
09:49:14	MR. ELLIS: Mr. Ellis made no
9	admission and you know it, Counsel.
09:49:18 10	Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Mr. Ditmar, to you?
09:49:20 11	A. Not that I'm aware of.
09:49:28 12	Q. Now, in a prior case I had with DMS and
13	Mr. Ellis as counsel, at the 30(b)(6) deposition,
14	after several motions to compel and after a year of
15	discovery requests, I was finally handed that
16	claimant's information stored on the DMS internal
17	claim system. Thirty seconds before beginning this
18	deposition today, on May 13th of 2004,
19	notwithstanding discovery requests of over a year in
20	duration, Mr. Ellis handed to me a document which
21	I've marked as Exhibit 28. Can you identify for me
22	what Exhibit 28 consists of?
09:50:10 23	MR. ELLIS: I will not object to the
24	question, but I do object to Counsel's
	ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 147-1806
	19
. 1	
1	that information to bring to Mr. Ellis had anyone
2	ever asked that you gather that information?
09:52:07 3	A. I don't recall being asked to gather any
4	information.
09:52:11 5	Q. You just did it because you thought it
6	might be helpful for Mr. Ellis?
09:32:16 7	A. I was asked yesterday to provide Mr. Ellis
8	with information in regards to Mr. Kearney's claim.
89:52:25 <b>9</b>	Q. Okay, so the first thing you do when you're
10	asked to provide relevant information regarding a

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

21

22

24

Wednesday.

09:52:40 14

09:52:42 16

09 52:57 **20** 

09:53:05 23

1	prolific speeches preceding any question that		
2	he asks.		
09:50:33 3	A. This document is a copy of screen prints		
4	from our claim system in regards to Mr. Kearney's two		
5	disability policies.		
D9:50:58 <b>6</b>	Q. Did you ever participate in the collection		
7	of documents for the production of litigation in this		
8	action?		
09:51:07	A. I may have been.		
09:51:00 10	Q. What do you recall about your involvement,		
11	if any?		
09:51:15 12	A. I don't recall specifically other than		
13	asking for copies of our screen prints and the		
14	monthly reports.		
m9:51:25 15	Q. When was it that you were asked to collect		
16	the information from the screen reports and the		
17	monthly I'm sorry, did you say the monthly		
18	statements to Jefferson-Pilot?		
09:51:39 19	A. Monthly reports, I believe.		
1993140 <b>20</b>	Q. When was it that you were asked to gather		
21	the monthly reports and the screen prints?		
09:51:47 22	<ol> <li>I brought them with me to meet with</li> </ol>		
23	Mr. Ellis yesterday.		
09:51:54 24	<ul> <li>Q. Prior to the meeting and prior to gathering</li> </ul>		
	ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1906		
	ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (473) 147-7308		

r. Ellis had anyone it information? asked to gather any ise you thought it ay to provide Mr. Ellis Mr. Kearney's claim. ning you do when you're mation regarding a claim is go to the claim system maintained on the network at DMS and print up the screen prints, is that right? MR. ELLIS: Objection. Misstates the testimony. A. I believe there was a question in an interrogatory or some document requesting e-mail transmissions and/or other documents, computer documents, in regards to Mr. Kearney's claim. Q. Okay, we're getting somewhere. When were you made mindful of interrogatory or document production requests in this action? A. I believe I saw that document on Tuesday or

Prior to Tuesday or Wednesday, Wednesday was yesterday, prior to Tuesday or Wednesday, you had never been provided any interrogatories or document production requests? A. I don't recall if I was. Q. Is the screen print maintained on the internal claim system at DMS? Are you the only one that would be mindful that the Kearney information would be contained in that software program? A. No. That's where you store all claimants' information on active claims, isn't that true? The claim system contains policy information for all claims that we've managed. Not necessarily only active claims, it could be a claim that was closed at some point since we began managing that block. Q. So all the claims personnel working on the Jefferson-Pilot block of business would be mindful

that information concerning Mr. Kearney would be found on the claim system software, correct? A. All individuals working at DMS on the Jefferson-Pilot block would be aware that there is information on our claim system.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

09:54:25 1	Q. Do you know why it would be that this claim		
2	system report on Mr. Kearney would be withheld from		
3	him notwithstanding discovery requests until just		
4	this morning?		
09:54:33 <b>5</b>	MR. ELLIS: Objection.		
09:54:34 6	A. No, I do not.		
09:54:39 7	Q. Could you walk me through page by page		
99:54:41 8	MR. ROBERTS: Counsel, do you have		
9	another copy other than the one you gave me		
10	for the exhibit?		
09:54:46 11	MR. ELLIS: I have my copy.		
09:54:47 12	MR. ROBERTS: Can I use it?		
199:54:50 13	MR. ELLIS: What am I going to use?		
14	Work with the witness.		
09:54:53 15	MR. ROBERTS: Why don't we go off		
16	the record and we'll have copies made and		
17	we'll come back on the record.		
09:54:58 18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the		
19	record at 9:54 a.m.		
09:54:59 20	(A recess was taken)		
09:57:56 21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at		
22	9:57 a.m.		
09:57:59 23	Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Okay, Mr. Ditmar, now I		
24	have a copy of Exhibit 28 in front of me and I'd like		
Ĺ	ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (4/3) 747-1806		

	1
	2
(8):58:12	3
	4
(M:58:24	5
	6
	7
09:5x:31	8
09:58:31	9
	10
09:58:37	11
09-58:39	12
	13
09:58:46	14
09:58:47	15
	16
09:58:51	17
	18
09:58:58	19
	20
	21

09:59:10 22

10:01:27 12

10:01:32 14

10:01:34 15

10:01:38 17

10:01:38 18

10:01:41 20

10 pt 4 21

10.01.46 22

13

16

19

23

24

23

24

to work through this with you. What is the first page of Exhibit 28?

A. It's a screen print from our claim system in regards to Mr. Kearney's policy 493029.

Q. And on this form there's a DMS claim number. That's an internal number that DMS assigns to a claim, is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. What is in the "Co:" field that says "07"? What is that representative of?

A. That stands for company number.

Q. And Jefferson-Pilot is assigned the designation 07, internal at DMS?

A. Yes.

"Notice Date:" in the next box would be representative of what?

A. That would be the date this claim was input into our claim system.

Q. Now, Mr. Kearney's claim had actually been administered to some degree by DMS going back to '97. Why is 1997 not reflected in that field?

A. We had previously performed a consulting service to Jefferson-Pilot. We were not administering the claim. We only provided some

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (642) 767-180K

23

recommendations back in 1997 and 1998. It was not a 1 2 claim we were managing. 119:59:25 3 Q. Okay. Was that service provided to Jefferson-Pilot or was it provided pursuant to the 4 5 written agreement between DMS and Employers Reinsurance? 7 A. I don't know. Q. Have you ever had any contact with Bill No.49-19 8 9 Dempsey or anyone else at Employers Reinsurance 10 regarding the Kearney claim? A. I don't recall specifically. 09:59:54 11 Q. You're mindful that DMS entered into a 09:59:55 12 13 relationship with Employers Reinsurance in 1997 just 14 prior to the Kearney claim being referred to DMS, 15 correct? 10:00:0x 16 A. I don't recall when we entered into a 17 relationship with Employers Reinsurance in regards to 18 Jefferson-Pilot. 10001A 19 Q. That wasn't my question. You're mindful 20 that DMS entered a relationship, some contractual 21 relationship, with Employers Reinsurance prior to the 22 time that Kearney's claim came to DMS in '97? 10:00:30 23 A. I thought you had asked me if we entered it 24 in 1997. I don't recall the date we entered into a

1 contract with Employers Reinsurance in regard to 2 Jefferson-Pilot. At some point in time I know there 3 was some relationship between the three companies. 4 Q. Does DMS handle blocks of business relating 5 to Employers Reinsurance that isn't associated with 6 Jefferson-Pilot? 7 A. I believe we do. 10:01:07 8 What insurance companies would those be? 10:01:09 A. I believe we manage a block of claims for 10 Reassure Life America that is reinsured by Employers 11 Reinsurance. I don't recall any other companies.

What is the next field, "Mode:," where it says, "Edit"?

A. I don't know.

What other options are in that field, do you know?

> A. I don't know.

Q. Do you now work with the claim system on a daily basis?

A. Not necessarily.

Some days you don't, most days you do?

Actually, the claim system is typically used on a daily basis by the examiners, not the directors or vice presidents.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

# Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB<sub>25</sub> Document 193 Filed 09/07/2007 Page 7 of 30 <sub>26</sub>

10:01:58 1	Q. You're a director, correct?	10:12:5# 1	Q. Thirty-seven?
10:01:59 2	A. I'm an assistant vice president.	10:03:00 2	MR. ELLIS: Same objection. He's
10:82:0) 3	Q. Congratulations. You work with claims on a	3	not going to provide any kind of information
4	daily basis, correct?	4	from which his location or residence can be
, 5	A. Yes.	5	determined.
	Q. And your testimony is you don't work with	10:03:10 6	MR. ROBERTS: Are you instructing
7	the claim system on a daily basis?	7	the witness not to answer how old he is,
10:02:13	A. That's correct.	8	Counsel?
10:02:14 9	Q. Do you work with it on most days?	10:03:15	MR. ELLIS: He can tell you his age.
10:02:17 10	A. Not necessarily.	10	He's not going to give you his birth date or
10:02:20 11	Q. When did you become assistant vice	11	any follow up on it.
12	president?	10:03:22 12	Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Okay.
10:02:24 13	A. April 1, 2004.	10:03:22 13	<ol> <li>A. Thirty-seven years old.</li> </ol>
10:02:30 14	Q. When was your last performance evaluation?	10:03:24 14	Q. You attended the University of
10:02:37 15	A. In December of '03.	15	Massachusetts and received a bachelor's degree in
10:02:38 16	Q. Is that when you were informed that you	16	economics?
17	were going to be promoted to assistant vice	10:03:30 17	A. Yes.
18	president?	10:03:31 18	Q. 1989?
10:02:43 19	A. No.	10:03:33 19	A. Yes.
10:02:45 20	Q. When was it that you were informed of that	10:03:34 20	Q. And prior to working at DMS you worked at
21	decision?	21	MassMutual in the Disability Income Claims field,
10:02:50 22	A. At some point in March of 2004.	22	correct?
10:02:54 23	Q. Are you 36 years old?	10:03:42 23	A. No. I had actually worked at Travelers
10:02:57 24	A. No, I'm not.	24	prior to my employment at Disability Management
Į	ACOURATE COURT REPORTING (413) YG7-1906	L	ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1906

	27
1	Services.
03:49 2	Q. Correct, but prior to working at Travelers
3	you worked at MassMutual, right?
Hi3:53 4	A. Prior to working at Travelers I worked at
. 5	MassMutual.
603:56	Q. And you moved on to Travelers. Did you
7	meet Mr. Bonsall and Mr. Anderson while you worked at
8	Travelers?
:04:02 9	A. I already knew them.
:04:03 10	Q. You knew them prior to your association
11	with Travelers?
H04:95 12	A. Yes. who will east the control of
:04:06 <b>13</b>	Q. The three of you were associated at
14	Travelers during the same period of time, correct?
n4:10 <b>15</b>	A. Yes. were the second of the
	Q. And then when Mr. Bonsall and Mr. Anderson
17	formed DMS in 1995, you came on board with them,
18	correct?
::se:19	A. Yes.
·04:20 20	Q. And your initial position was what?
(4:22 21	A. A claim consultant.
04:26 22	Q. How many other employees were there in the
23	first year of DMS's origination?
ъ 24	A. I believe six.

			28
10:04:37	1	Q.	Who else came on that year?
(0:04:4)	2	Α.	Tim O'Connor, Greg Mirabelli, and Terence
	3	Daniels.	
10:04:51	4	Q.	Are they three still associated with the
	5	company?	
10:04:54	6	A.	<b>No.</b> (4)
10:04:55	7	Q.	Are any of them?
10:04:57	8	A.	<b>Yes.</b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
10:04:58	9	Q.	Which ones?
10:64:59	10	A.	Tim O'Connor and Terence Daniels.
10:05:02	11	Q.	Do you know what happened to Greg
	12	Mirabelli?	
10:05:06	13	A.	I believe Greg Mirabelli works at Travelers
	14	Insurance	Company now.
10:05:10	15	Q.	Do you know how to spell his last name?
10:05:13	16	A.	M-I-R-A-B-E-L-L-I
10:05:19	17	Q.	When did he leave DM5?
(0:05:21	18	<b>A.</b> ·	I don't recall the specific date.
10:05:23	19	Q.	Do you know what the circumstances were of
	20	his depart	ure?
10:05:27	21	<b>A</b> .	He took a management position at Travelers.
10:05:43	22	Q.	On this first page of Exhibit 28 there's
	23	two action	s represented there, one that's set for May
	24	19, 2004, v	which is still six days off, and one set

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

#### Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB<sub>29</sub> Document 193

	00	1:02 0V 00 17 0 Wittb 29 B000111
1 [	for May 2	4, 2004. Is that right?
2	A.	That's correct.
3	Q.	In those fields there's initials JMS. Do
4	you know v	what that represents?
5	A.	Jacqueline Smegal is currently
6	administer	ring Mr. Kearney's claim.
7	Q.	The examiner on this sheet refers to RFM,
8	which is N	Ar. Mills, correct?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	Does he have responsibility for the claim
11	also prese	ntly?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Does he oversee Jacqueline?
14	A.	No.
15	Q.	How long is it Jacqueline, is that what
16	you said?	ļ
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	How long has she been involved with
19	Mr. Kearn	ey's claim?
20	A.	She's been making the payments on this
21	claim a	ctually, if you look on one of the payment
22	sheets later on we could probably see when she began	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1 for May 2: 2 A. 3 Q. 4 you know w 5 A. 6 administer 7 Q. 8 which is N 9 A. 10 Q. 11 also prese 12 A. 13 Q. 14 A. 15 Q. 16 you said? 17 A. 18 Q. 19 Mr. Kearn 20 A. 21 claim a.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

It looks like the first payment she made

making payments on them.

his superiors?

23

1

4

6

7 10:08:21

8

11

12

13

16

19

20

23

10:08:36 14

10:08:37 15

10:00:41 17

10:08:43 18

10-08-50 21

10:0x:52 22

10:08:58 24

10:08:03

in 08:10 3

10:08:15 5

10:08:24 9

10:08.25 10

10:07:02 24

was back in August of '03. The payment sheet has the initials on --

Page 8 of 30 30

Q. I see that.

Filed 09/07/2007

1 2

10:07:10 3

10:07 11 4

19:07:13 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

13 14

15

19

20

10:07:32 12

10:07:40 16 17

10:07.44 18

10:07:49 21 10:07:52 22

10:07:55 23

10:07:59 24

-- of who makes the payment.

Would she have any other responsibility other than ministerially directing that a check be issued to Mr. Kearney, any other responsibilities with regard to the Kearney claim?

A. It looks like she requested a continuance of disability form, so her role would be to make payments and request periodic forms.

Q. Requesting the forms by sending out a two or three-sentence letter to Mr. Kearney at his home address saying, "Enclosed is the form, please send it back"?

It may, or it may be included with the last check.

Does she perform any analysis whatsoever of the merit of the claim, or has she, as far as you know?

Not that I'm aware of.

That responsibility falls to Mr. Mills?

He is the examiner assigned to the claim.

Does that responsibility fall to him and

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

31

### A. Yes. Q. Have you conducted any search for e-mails relating to the Kearney claim? A. I'm not aware of any e-mails that exist in regards to Mr. Kearney's claim. That wasn't my question. Have you conducted any search for any? No, I have not. Are you mindful of anybody at DMS reviewing the hard drives of anybody in the Jefferson-Pilot block of business for e-mails or other documents concerning Mr. Kearney? A. Not that I'm aware of. Has anyone, to your knowledge, performed a review of backup tapes? Not that I'm aware of. Do you know why that electronic information, as far as you know, hasn't been

10:08:58 10:09:00 2 10:09:00 3 4 10:09:03 6 7 80:09:01 10:09:10 11 10:09:18 12 10:09:20 13 100026 16 10:09:36 10:09:4) 20 10:09:49 21 10:09:56 22

You've given over 20 depositions, correct? Q. A. You've been involved in several litigation Q. matters? A. Yes. You're mindful that electronic discovery is something that's routinely requested? I don't know. Am I the first person to suggest to you 10 that parties in litigation seek electronic information? A. That I can recall, yes. Q. Is there a reason why Mr. Kearney should not be entitled to the information stored 14 electronically, that you can think of? 15 I don't know. Does DMS have a policy to not search for electronic information in matters subject to 18 19 litigation? Not that I'm aware of. Let's turn to the second page. This information at the top half contains the same rudimentary details about the claim that was 23 24 on the first page, is that right, it just carries

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

Does DMS simply not look for electronic

searched? Do you know why it hasn't been?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

information in litigation?

I don't know.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

1

2

5

7

9

12

14

15

18

19

20

22

24

10:12:49 21

10:12:54 23

10:14:14 3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

10:15:38 24

10:13:06 17

10:15:13 19

10:14:35

10:14:52 13

10:11:51 3

10:12:02 6

10:12:08 8

10:12:20 10 11

10:12:28 13

10:12:36 16

10:12:38 17

	33 2 3 3 3
1	over?
10:10:07 2	A. Yes.
10:10:07 3	Q. This is distinguished because a different
4	field has been manually requested to show
5	information. The first one was actions, and this
6	page shows the field of information under the tab
7	"Claim Info," right?
10:10:24	A. That's correct.
10:10:25	Q. And the only claim info that's represented
10	in the claim system is the purported cause of
11	Mr. Kearney's disability, the name of his doctor, her
12	degree, her state of practice, and a description of
13	his job simply as "Manufacturers rep
14	(self-employed)," right?
10:10:49 15	A. And "sickness" is selected.
10:10:50 16	Q. As opposed to "accident"?
10:10:51 17	A. Yes.
10:10:55 18	Q. Why is there not a more detailed
19	description of his occupation?
10:11:03 20	A. I don't know.
10:11:12 21	<ul> <li>Q. What's your understanding of the purpose</li> </ul>
22	for the claim system software employed at DMS?
10:11:23 23	<ul> <li>A. In order to make payments on claims and to</li> </ul>
24	have information, basic information with the
	Accepted collect according (Carlotte
	ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1808

policyholder's address and phone number, the system allows us to track each person's caseload.

Q. So you can go in and perform a search of what Mr. Mills' caseload is and search through his claim system information?

A. I could bring up a report that would contain all claims assigned to Bob Mills, yes.

Q. Is there a policy to not delete information that is input into the claim system?

Once the information is input, only certain things can be changed. You can't delete certain information.

What can't be changed? Are you talking about the software won't let you do it or it's DMS's policy?

The software.

Q. Does DMS have a policy at all about preserving and/or not preserving, deleting information input at some point into the claim system?

Not that -- I don't recall a specific policy, no.

Q. So, a claim examiner, claim rep, whatever you call them, can unilaterally decide at his or her

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

35

discretion to delete information contained in the claim system?

1

2

4

5

9

10

11

13

14

15

16 10.1350 17

18

19

21

22

101357 20

10:34:04 23

14:05 24

10:13:44 12

10:13:06 3

101315 6

10:13:21 8

Once the claim is entered, only certain information can be changed. I don't believe anything can be deleted.

from deleting information, is that your testimony?

such as the address, where the check is going to, but as far as I know I don't believe any basic information can be changed.

claimant of a claim that was managed by DMS and there was a task list or action list. I don't see that field represented here for Mr. Kearney. Is there a reason for that?

Not that I'm aware of. I believe all the screens were printed when this was produced.

ensuring that every single screen was printed and is now represented in this exhibit?

A. No, I did not.

Who performed that task?

I asked Jacqueline Smegal to print these 10:14:08 2 documents for us.

> Q. Based on your experience of the claim system, would it be consistent with my experience, that is, there is a field where action steps and/or tasks are detailed? Have you ever seen that before, sir, or did I see the only claim system information that has that?

A. On the very first page there is the action area. That's -- as far as I know, that's where you have current actions on the policy. I'm not aware of any other place that keeps actions.

Q. You've never seen in your experience with DMS and your experience with the claim system a field that lists the historical actions taken in a case other than what's represented here on page 1?

A. No, I do not. I don't work with the claim system as much as the examiners do.

Q. Very well. So, in your experience -- your experience is different than mine. What I saw in a prior case showing historical actions taken is not something that you've seen when you've had your experience with the claim system?

A. I don't know what you saw.

Q. Okay, the software would prohibit a person A. I believe so. You can change information Q. I've seen a printout for a different A. I'm not sure what the list looked like. Q. Did you personally go to the effort of

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

40

37200
Q. That's not my question. I didn't ask you
what I saw, okay. Assume I'm telling you the truth,
that in the past I've seen this, where you have the
historical actions represented. That would be
inconsistent with your entire experience with the
claim system?
<ol> <li>I'm not aware of a printout that would</li> </ol>
allow you to do that. I'm not it may exist, I
don't know. I haven't seen it.
Q. Is it important to update the fields of
information on a timely basis?
A. I'm not sure what you mean.
Q. Is there a policy that the people who do
have daily experience with this claim system, that
they update information as it becomes available?
A. What information?
Q. Any. Claimant's address, the name of his
lawyer, changing a phone number. If information
changes, is it the policy of DMS to timely update the
information?
A. I'm not sure it's a specific policy. The
examiners do periodically update the information,
yes.
Q. Do you agree it's a good business practice

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

	1
10:16.56	2
10:17.00	3
	4
	5
	6
30:17:14	7
	8
	9
10:17:30	10
10:17:30	11
(0-17-33	12
10:17:35	13
10:17:36	14
	15
10:17:42	16
	17
	18
	19
10:18:03	20
10:18:04	21
10:18:06	22

23

24

10:20:16 15

10:20:42 19

(0:20:52 21

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

to timely update the information?

Yes.

Are there any policies that govern the claim examiners' daily activities? E-mail policies, telephone policies, vacation policies, claim administration policies, claim system policies, any?

A. It depends. There are -- there is an employee handbook. We do have a certain number of vacation days per year.

That's it?

Items such as that.

How many pages is the employee handbook?

I don't recall.

What topics are covered in the employee handbook?

Information such as vacation or sick days. I believe it talks about insurance, in terms of health insurance and different information such as that.

Q. Anything else you can recall?

Not that I can recall.

Q. So nothing about the actual performance of the job or whether you're supposed to store e-mails, delete e-mails; whether you're supposed to store

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

drafts of documents, delete drafts of documents;

whether you're supposed to take notes of communications you have with persons relative to the claim or whether you're supposed to destroy notes.

There's no policies along those lines, correct?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

10:19:35 23

10:19:36 24

10:19-61 **14** 

10:19:04 16

10:18:37 8

10:13:42 9

There's no policy to not take notes?

A. I'm not sure what you mean by that.

Q. Are claim examiners given any counsel, instruction, advice, or recommendations about taking notes and putting them in the claim file anytime they have a substantive communication with anyone relating to a particular claimant?

Typically that would be something that we do.

Q. Okay. So, for example, Mr. Mills in this case, you would expect, because it's expected of him, that he document every substantive communication he would have with the claimant, an investigator, a treating physician, an independent medical examiner, a superior, someone at Employers Reinsurance, is that right?

Not necessarily, no.

Which of those would you expect him to

2 expect him not to document communications?

It's up to the examiner what to put in the file.

document in the claim file substantive communication he has with an investigator or not, is that your

And it's his discretion whether or not he wants to put in the claim file a substantive communication he has with the superior, right?

I don't recall those being in the claim

Me either. So it's his election to take notes and document the substantive communications with superiors, or is it the policy that he not take notes and not put them in the claim file?

I'm not aware of any occasion where Mr. Mills would document a conversation such as that.

Q. And you're not aware of any documentation of any substantive communication anyone at DMS had with anyone at Jefferson-Pilot regarding Mr. Kearney, right?

39

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

1 document communication, and which of those would you 10:19:42 3 A. It depends. Every claim is different. 4 10:19:48 5 Q. Okay. So it's Mr. Mills' discretion to 6 7 8 testimony? 10:20:02 10:20:02 10 11 12 10:20:13 13 14 file

10:21:05 1	A. Can you repeat that?
10:21:07 2	Q. You not only aren't aware of any notes in
3	Mr. Kearney's claim file by Mr. Mills detailing
4	discussions he had with superiors on the substance of
5	Mr. Kearney's claim, but you're also not aware of any
6	notes of any substantive communications he had with
7	anyone at Jefferson-Pilot, correct?
10:21:28 8	A. I'm not aware of any notes. There may be,
9	I don't know.
10:21:32 10	Q. And you're unaware of any notes in the
11	claim file of any substantive communications he may
12	have had with Employers Reinsurance?
10:21:41 13	<ol> <li>A. I'm not aware if there are any.</li> </ol>
10:21:45 14	Q. Are there any notes that you took that you
15	then put in the claim file and preserved of
16	communications of a substantive nature that you had
17	with Jefferson-Pilot?
10:21:53 18	A. Not that I'm aware of.
10:21:55 19	Q. Are there any notes that you preserved and
20	put in Mr. Kearney's claim file of substantive
21	communications you had with Employers Reinsurance?
10:22:04 22	A. Not that I'm aware of.
10.22:05 23	Q. Are there any notes that you preserved by
24	putting in Mr. Kearney's claim file of substantive

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

1 communications you had with Mr. Mills? 10:22:12 2 Not that I'm aware of. 10:22:13 3 How about Mr. Anderson? 10:22:16 4 I'm not aware of them. 10:22:18 5 Are there any notes of any substantive 6 communications you ever had with anyone concerning 7 Mr. Kearney that you preserved by putting in his claim file? 8 10:22:30 9 A. I'm not aware 10:22:31 10 Did you ever take a note of any action that 11 you had or involvement you had with regard to 12 Mr. Kearney's claim? 10:22:38 13 A. Not that I can recall 10:22:39 14 Q. If you did, you would have destroyed them? 10:22:43 15 Not necessarily. 10:22:44 16 Q. Okay. If you did, and they're not in the 17 claim file, they've been destroyed? 10:22:49 18 A. I can't recall if I took notes. I can't 19 recall if they were put in the claim file or they 20 were thrown away. I don't recall. 10:22:59 21 Q. Other than Mr. Mills' notes of phone 22 conversations he had with Mr. Kearney, are you 23 mindful of there being any handwritten notes of DMS 24 representatives concerning their work on

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

You've done that?

It depends on the situation.

44

43 1 Mr. Kearney's claim that got preserved by being put 2 into Mr. Kearney's claim file? 10:23:19 3 A. I'm not aware of the documents, all of the 4 documents contained in the claim file. 10:23:27 5 Q. Is there a policy against taking notes of 6 communications you have with persons other than the 7 claimant and putting those notes in the claim file? (0:23:4) 8 The examiner decides the information that 9 goes into the claim file. 10:23:45 10 Q. Very well. So it's not the policy of DMS 11 that only notes of communications you have with the 12 claim file go in the claim file, and that all other notes not be preserved in the claim file? 13 10:23:59 14 A. There is no specific policy as to what goes 15 in or does not go into the claim file. 10:24:04 16 Q. Is that the recommendation given to claim 17 representatives? 10:24:11 18 Α. I don't give them a recommendation as far 19 as that. 10:24:14 20 Do you ever document communications you 21 have with a third party about a claimant by 22 preserving those notes and putting them in the claim 23 file? ):24:21 **24** It depends on the claim.

10:24:22 1

10:24:24 2

10:24:25 3

You've done that? Have I put conversations that I've had with Jefferson-Pilot in a claim file? I may have. I don't recall specifically. Q. Have you ever had a discussion with anyone at Jefferson-Pilot about the nature and substance of their policies that they've sold historically? I'm not sure what you mean by that. You know that Jefferson-Pilot has historically sold disability insurance policies? A. Yes. Q. In January of 2000 about 500 of those policies came over to DMS for administration? We began administering claims in January of 2000. I believe there was less than that sent at that point in time. Q. Haven't you testified before it was about 500? A. I believe I looked at the monthly report this morning and it looks like it was 330-odd files that came over in January of 2000. Q. Prior to that you had the Kearney claim and

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

### Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB <sub>45</sub>Document 193

		73
1	some other	ers, right?
10:25:22 2	<b>A</b> .	No.
10:25:22 3	Q.	The Kearney claim was not something that
4	DMS worked on prior to January of 2000?	
10:23:27 5	A.	We provided some consulting services on
6	five to te	n different claims back in 1997 and 1998.
7	At some point our consulting arrangement ended and it	
8	was a period of time later that we began the	
9	administration of the claims.	
10:25 52 10	Q.	What other claimants did you handle in that
11	five to ten in the '97 to 2000 time frame?	
10:25:59 12	A. I don't recall their specific names.	
10:26:00 13	Q. Do you recall any names?	
10:26:01 14	A.	Not that I'm aware of, no.
10:26:04 15	Q.	How about is there an affiliate of
16	Rampersad that you had some responsibility for at	
17	some point?	
10:26:11 18	A.	No.
10:26:11 19	Q.	How about Greg or Kohn, K-O-H-N?
10:26:14 20	A.	I don't recall.
10:26:17 21	Q.	And Rampersad is R-A-M-P-E-R-S-A-D.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

You have no recollection of that?

Have you had responsibility for the

10:26.12 22

10:26:19 23

10:26:22 24

A. No.

Jefferson-Pilot block of business since 2000?

Filed 09/07/2007

10:26:29 2

10:26:41 6

3

4

5

7

8 10-26-50 **9** 

10

11

14 19:27:03 15

> 16 17

18:27:08

10:27:09 19

10:27:16 20

22

23

10:27:34 24

10:26:56 12

10:26:58 13

A. Initially I was director of claims on Jefferson-Pilot beginning in January of 2000, and I've continued to be the manager on that block since that time.

Page 12 of 30<sub>46</sub>

Q. Did you have any involvement in any other Jefferson-Pilot claims other than Mr. Kearney prior to 2000?

A. I don't recall the other claims I've provided consulting on. I believe there's two or three others.

Q. What do you mean, consulting?

 A. We provided some claim recommendation on those claims.

Q. Is it a one-shot deal; you review the claim file and you give a recommendation and it's concluded?

A. Typically.

Q. Was that the situation with Mr. Kearney?

A. I believe I attempted to contact

Mr. Kearney to discuss his claim and then recommended a field visit with a representative from Psychiatric Disability Consultants.

Q. That's a subsidiary of DMS, right?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

48

47

Yes. And I believe that is the actions I 10:27:40 1 2 took on that claim. 10:27:45 Q. Did you ever request copies of Mr. Kearney's policies so that your legal staff at 4 DMS could review them? 5 A. I believe there was an issue that 10:27:51 6 Mr. Kearney had refused a financial audit, and we had requested the policy to see if there was any specific 8 language in regards to the audit. 9 10:28:07 10 Q. You're mindful because you discussed with Counsel Tuesday or Wednesday that you requested the 11 files so they can be looked at by Legal. Is that the 12 only reason that you would have taken a look at 13 Mr. Kearney's policy, to see if an audit was capable 14 15 or would you have just reviewed the whole policy to give them consulting services? 16 10:28:25 17 MR. ELLIS: I'll object to Counsel's 18 statement preceding the question as an 19 inaccurate statement. A. Can you repeat the question? 1012K34 20 10.28:36 **21** Q. Sure. Mr. Ditmar, in your involvement 22 providing these expert consulting services, did you ever look at the policy to see what it provided? 23 A. I don't recall looking at Mr. Kearney's 10:28:46 24

specific policy other than in terms of the refusal to undergo the financial audit

Q. Okay. So, I mean, the job that you were

Q. Okay. So, I mean, the job that you were performing back in '97 through 2000 in consulting, I mean there's two basic things you look at: The policy issued to the policyholder and then the facts, right, and you try to make sense of it?

MR. ELLIS: Objection. Misstates facts. He didn't say he was consulting until 2000.

A. I believe I only looked at the claim for a period between '97 and '98, and when I received the claim I looked at it from the perspective of was there additional information needed in order to evaluate Mr. Kearney's claim.

Q. Okay. In that process, would it have been your practice to look at the policy to see what it provided?

A. Not necessarily.

Q. So you would provide these expert consulting services to Jefferson-Pilot without regard for what the policy said; is that your testimony under oath, sir?

A. Not necessarily.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

2000.

A. I belie eriod between ' laim I looked at here additional ivaluate Mr. Keal Q. Okay. our practice to rovided?

A. Not ne

21 22 23

10:29:50 24

10:30:

10:31:07 5

10:31:21 9

10:31:23 11

(0:31:33 14

6

7

8

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

24

10:31:48 19

18:31:56 22

10:31:5k 23

10:39:34 1

10:39:38 4

10:39:40 5

10:39:48 8

10:39:50 10

10:39:52 12

10:39:52 13

10:40:08 17

10-40-1A 18

10:39:35 3

2

6

7

9

11

14

15

16

19

20

21

24

10:40:22 2.2

10:40:25 23

10:32:02

10:32:03 2

10:32:27 6

10:32:27 7

10:32:27 10

10:32:29 11

10:32:38 12

10:32:40 13

10:32:41 14

10:32:43 16

10:32:43

15

18 10:39:09 19

> 20 21

22

23

24

3

4

8 10:32:27

A. It depends on the situation of the claim. In Mr. Kearney's claim, I believe we looked at the policy from the perspective of whether or not we felt he was obligated to undergo a financial audit. I don't believe there were any other reasons to look at the policy at that point in time. We were trying to determine what his job was and how his medical condition affected his ability to work.

Q. Your testimony under oath, Mr. Ditmar, is that you think that it's likely that you didn't look at Mr. Kearney's policy when you were requested to give the consulting services?

MR. ELLIS: Objection. Misstates his testimony.

Again, I only looked at the policy from the perspective of the audit. I did not look at the policy for any other reason. I examined the claim file. In fact, when we received some of these claim files, I don't believe we received any of the policies initially.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

56	1	Q. Did you look at did you read the
	2	entirety of Mr. Kearney's policy at any time in '97
	3	and '98?

10:31:03 4 A. I don't recall specifically.

> Q. Your testimony under oath is that it's possible or likely that you didn't read the entirety of Mr. Kearney's policy at any time between July of '97 and 20002

A. I don't recall what I did at that point in time.

How about on January 5th of 2000; at that point would DMS have gone through the effort of reading a six-page insurance policy?

The claim was received at some point in January, it was input into our system on January 5, 2000. It would have been the examiner's responsibility to enter the claim into our system at that point.

Q. When in time would DMS go to the effort of actually reading a six-page insurance policy that it's administering?

A. It depends on the claim.

Q. Maybe years into the claim, maybe days? Anywhere in between?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

51

It depends.

It depends. How is it that you make judgments and determination about benefit eligibility? Let me -- are facts of the claimant's occupation important?

A. Yes.

Q. Is the claimant's medical condition important?

In evaluating a claim, yes.

Is the policy provisions important?

Yes. Α

> THE WITNESS: May I take a break? MR. ROBERTS: Absolutely. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record

at 10:31.

(A recess was taken)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at 10:38 a.m.

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Mr. Ditmar, I want to return to the issue of whether or not you ever reviewed the policy. There came a point in time in 1997 when you requested Chelsey Ugolik, U-G-O-L-I-K, to perform some analysis or assessment, and she did that and prepared a memo to you, correct?

I do recall that.

Have you reviewed that document in the past couple days?

A. I briefly looked at that.

You're mindful that in that document she sets forth what the provisions of the policy and the benefits are under the policy?

> MR. ELLIS: Would you mind showing him the document, Counsel?

(By Mr. Roberts) Are you mindful of that, sir?

I don't recall seeing that.

I'm going to hand you what's been marked as 2866, the first page of Ms. Ugolik's November 18, 1997 memorandum to you containing her file review, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And she describes here in the third and fourth paragraph what her impressions are of the two policies that Mr. Kearney had purchased from Jefferson-Pilot, correct?

A. It does describe two policies there.

Tell me if I read this correctly, okay. Follow along. She writes, "It appears from the file

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (U13) 767-1806

#### Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB 53 Document 193

that one policy, H493029, was issued on May 28, '90, which provides for \$3,295 per month tax free. Benefits paid under this policy are for a claimed disability date of 2/9/93 for a diagnosis of major depression, chronic and acute.

"The second policy, H538069, was issued on May 28, '91 which provides for residual payments of \$1,600 per month tax free. Benefits paid under this policy are for a claimed disability date of 2/5/93 for a diagnosis of lumbar sacral spine sprain with suspension of disc involvement. It appears that this policy is still contestable."

Did I read that correctly?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

10:41:24 13

10:41:76 14

10:41.28 **15** 

10:41:33 17

10:41:49 21

10:41:37 24

10:42:56 1

10:43:07 4

10:43:0x 5

10:43:12 7

10:43:13 8

10:43:15 9

10:43:19 11

10:43:23 13

10:43:29 16

10:43:41 20

10:43:44 21

10:43:53 24

10

12

14

15

17

18

19

22

23

10:42:56

2

3

6

16

18

19

20

22

23

Do you know Ms. Ugolik? Did you know her prior to her creation of this memo?

I believe I referred this file to PDC for review. She was one of the consultants working at PDC at the time. I do not recall knowing her prior to that.

Does PDC Consultants, when you refer work to them, do they usually perform a thorough review of the file?

> They read the claim file, yes. Α

> > ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

#### Filed 09/07/2007 Page 14 of 30 54

10:42:00 1

10:42:19 8

10:42:25 10

1022-11 13

10:42:37 16

10:42:41 17

10:42:46 19

10:42:47 20

10.42:53 22

10:42:54 23

9

11

12

14

15

18

21

24

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

13

14

16

17

19

20

23

10:44:32 11

10:44:32 12

10:45:07 15

10:45:22 18

10:45:30 21

macon 22

10.46:12 24

10:44:12 3

10:44:21 7

2

that the people who work there will do a thorough job 3 4 of reviewing a claim file, right? 10:42:14 5 A. It depends what you ask them to do. I believe in this case she was asked to review the 6 7

claim file

Q. Your expectation would be that if you refer

a case for review to DMS's subsidiary company, PDC,

Q. Okay. Did you direct her to not read the policy and the riders?

A. I don't know if we had the policy and the riders at that time. Typically that's not something they would do.

She purports to have read the policies. She's giving you opinions and summaries of what the policies contain.

I don't know what she's basing that on.

What's your expectation when you send a claim for review to PDC?

It depends what you ask them to do.

Is your expectation that they do a good job?

> Yes. A.

Is it your expectation that they be thorough?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

55

Do you rely on the information they provide Q. you?

the information they provide you?

depends" today? It depends?

you ask, where it's a situation, where it may or may not.

Q. Why would you not rely on a report that you request someone that works for a subsidiary company who you believe to be thorough and competent prepares?

A. It depends what I ask them to do.

Q. If you ask them to do something and their report suggests that they did it, in that case would it depend that you do rely on the report?

It depends what I ask them to do, and it

depends how I'm going to rely on it given the claim situation.

So there are occasions when you don't rely on the reports, is this where we are, sometimes you do and sometimes you don't, it depends?

A. I don't know, it depends on each claim.

Can you think of a situation where you requested PDC Consultants to prepare for you a memorandum based on a file review and you didn't rely on it2

A. I don't recall.

Was it at your direction, then, in 1998 that Ms. Beattie performed a file review and actually met with Mr. Kearney?

I believe I spoke with Ms. Beattie at some point and it was decided that she would travel out and sit down with Mr. Kearney and discuss his claim.

Q. Did you discuss the particulars of Mr. Kearney's policy with Ms. Beattie in preparation for her meeting with Mr. Kearney?

A. Not that I can recall.

Q. Ms. Beattie's report is contained in the claim file at 572578. Do you recognize that, sir?

It depends. Are there occasions where you don't rely on A. It depends. What does it depend on? It depends what they say, it depends what I've asked them to do. How many times are you going to say "It A. Yes, it depends on the number of questions

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

### Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB <sub>57</sub>Document 193

			5/
10:46:13	1	Q.	When was the last time you reviewed it?
10:46:16	2	A.	I looked at this document yesterday.
10:46:20	3	Q.	Can you turn to the final page of her
	4	report. D	o you see where it says, "Following are my
s. E	5	recommen	dations"?
10:46-32	6	A.	Yes.
10:46:33	7	Q.	And the second one actually, the first
	8	one, she r	ecommends is this report drafted to you,
	9	sir?	
10:46.47	10	A.	Yes.
10:46:48	11	Q.	You're the recipient, according to the
:	12	memo?	
10:46:50	13	A.	Yes.
10:46:51	14	Q.	She recommends to you that a request be
:	15	made that	t Jefferson-Pilot provide Mr. Kearney with a
:	16	written e	xplanation of his policy benefits to include
	17		oation, occupational definition and
	18	-	on, length of his benefits, and explanation
	19	of how th	ey view residual versus total disability,
	20	and how t	his decision is made.
10:47:18	21		Did you pursue her recommendation?

10:47:21 22

10:47:26 24

23

1

2

5

8

9

11

14

15

18

21

24

10:53:04 10

10:57:09 12

10:53:14 13

10:53:24 16

10:53:28 17

mss 19

10:31:33 20

10:53:35 22

10:53:39 23

10:52:34 3

10:52:37 4

10:52:47 6

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

Harold Shelton at Jefferson-Pilot.

I believe I forwarded this report on to

Q. Did you have any understanding of what the

issues were that were being raised upon which it was recommended that Mr. Kearney's understanding of his policy rights be clarified?

Page 15 of 30 58

A. I don't recall.

Filed 09/07/2007

1 2

3

10:47:37 4

6 7

8

10

10:47:38 5

10:47 47 9

10.mm 11

10:48:0x 12

10:48:12 13

10:48:19 16

10:48:22 17

marca 19

10:48:26 22

10:52:26 24

10:53:46 1

2

20 10:48:26 21

23

14 10:48:15 15 Q. You didn't perform any review of the policy or the claim file to understand the issues that Mr. Kearney was raising even though you had been hired to provide some consulting service?

A. I don't recall what the specific issues were at that time.

Q. Who is Lisa Newell, N-E-W-E-L-L?

A. She worked at PDC at that time.

Q. Did you ask for her to get involved in the Kearney claim file?

A. I don't recall doing so.

Q. If she recorded time --

MR. ROBERTS: Why don't we go off the record.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 10:47 a.m.

(A recess was taken)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at

60

10:51 a.m.

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Mr. Ditmar, you're still

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

59

under oa	th. How big was the claim file when you
received	it in 1997?
<b>A</b> .	I don't recall specifically.
Q.	Who during the '97/98 time frame did you
have any	discussions with concerning Mr. Kearney?

A. I believe I was assigned the file by John Anderson. And I would have spoken with the consultants at PDC, and I believe Harold Shelton was the contact at Jefferson-Pilot.

Q. Did you have substantive discussions with Mr. Anderson regarding the file?

A. Not that I can recall.

Q. Did you have substantive discussions with Ms. Beattie or Ms. Ugolik regarding the file other than their memos which we have now gone over?

A. Not that I can recall.

Q. Did you have substantive discussions with

	A.	If I had any they would be in the clair
file.		

Q. You don't destroy notes?

A. Not typically.

Q. Are you mindful that Jeff Champagne, when he takes notes, once he knows the information he then destroys them rather than putting them in the claim file?

MR. ELLIS: Objection. Misstates the facts.

MR. ROBERTS: It doesn't misstate the facts, Counsel, as you know.

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Go ahead.

A Thursday and a

14 A. I'm not aware of --

Q. Do you know who Jeff Champagne is?

A. Yes.

Q. Is he also a vice president of DMS?

A. I believe he is.

Q. In charge of the Mass Casualty bulk of business?

21 A. I believe he is.

Q. Do you know if he takes notes and puts them in the claim file?

A. No, I do not.

\_\_\_

10:53:49 3 10:53:52 4 10:53:53 5 6 7 R 10:51:07 9 10 10:54:04 11 12 10:54:07 13 10:54-0x 14 10:54:09 15 10:54:10 16 10.54:11 17 10:54:13 18 10:54:14 19 20 10:54:18 21 10:54:19 22 23 10:54:22 24

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

Mr. Shelton that you can recall?

A. Not that I can recall.

Q. Do you recall any substantive discussions with anyone?

A. No.

Q. Are there any notes of your activities from back in '97 and '98 regarding Mr. Kearney's claim?

lo not

#### ent 193

Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB <sub>61</sub> Docum			
Q.	Page 6 of the exhibit, sir why don't you		
number those pages so we don't get them out of order.			
A.	May I borrow a pen, please?		
Q.	Certainly.		
	Have you numbered all 20 pages?		
Α.	No, I have not.		
Q.	Would you kindly?		
<b>A</b> .	(Witness complying)		
	You wanted me on page 6?		
Q.	Yes. Mr. Ditmar, are you mindful that, in		
Ohio, insurance companies owe their policyholders a			
duty of good faith?			
A.	We treat all our policyholders the same		
way, in good faith.			
Q.	Okay. Do you know whether that's the law		

of several jurisdictions including Ohio or not? Not specifically. A.

10:54:24 1

10.54:36 3

10:54:59 4

10:55:00 5

10:55:01 6

10:55:06 7

10:56:00 8

10:56:02 9

10:56:09 10

10:56:27 13

101:56:26 15

10:56:32

10:56:33 18

10:56:37 20

10:56:40 21

10:56:47 24

11

12

14

16

19

22

23

1

m-59-96 24

2

You don't know anything about the law of Q. Ohio?

A. Not specifically.

And specifically with regards to an insurer's duty of good faith, you don't know whether there exists one or not in the state of Ohio?

A. I'm not aware.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

Filed 09/07/2007 Page 16 of 30 62

10:57:53 23

24

3

5

6

10-59-13 4

10:59:21 7

10:59:32 8

10:59:44 10

10:59:46 11

10:59:50 13

16:59:51 14

10.59:56 17

10:59:59 18

12

15

16

Q. But you are aware that several jurisdictions do have that concept?

Yes.

Q. Nonetheless, DMS always provides the policyholders with good faith, correct?

We attempt to, yes.

Has there ever been a decision of a jury that you're mindful of where a jury disagreed with the assertion that you have acted in good faith, DMS?

Not that I'm aware of.

When you performed these consulting services in '97 and '98 did you understand that there was a duty of good faith that went with that work or was there not?

A. I don't specifically recall thinking that necessarily.

Did you approach the duty that you were asked to perform on behalf of Jefferson-Pilot with the mindset that you were undertaking that duty with a commensurate duty of good faith to Mr. Kearney?

A. I was asked to review the claim file and provide my recommendations. That's what I did.

Did you undertake that work with the same mindset that you would offer to policyholders for

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

64

whom you understand you do have a duty of good faith?

I believe so.

What does Additional and Partial mean in 10:59:06 the fifth column on the right? 2

A. I don't know specifically.

Based on your association with the company, DMS, do you have any clue what that entry into the software system may be?

A. I do not.

Whose initials in the system are DEL on Q. page 8?

That stands for Dave LaPorte.

Does he work on the Jefferson-Pilot block Q. of business?

Would Mr. Mills just have been absent that day and that's why his initials appear on that one entry?

> Most likely. A.

Are you mindful of Mr. LaPorte having any substantive responsibility for the Kearney claim?

I do not believe he did.

Page 11, is this a reprint of page 1? Q.

> Except for the change in policy number, I believe it is

The policy number referenced in the far

A. I treat all the policy -- all my 2 10:58:01 policyholders the same way. 3 4 Q. Does that include when you're providing 10:58:05 consulting services as you did in 1997 and '98? 5 A. Yes. 10:58:10 6 Okay. And in exercising a duty of good 10:58:11 7 faith, do you have a judgment about whether or not 8 it's appropriate to actually review the policy to 9 make sure that you are conducting your activities in 10 good faith? 11 10:5K-27 12 A. Not necessarily. Q. Page 6, in the far right-hand column 10:58:31 13 there's some Y entries, the letter Y, and on some 14 lines there are not. Do you know why that is? 15 10:58:42 16 A. No, I do not. Do you know what the Y represents? 10:58:42 17 I do not. 10:58:44 18 In the third column from the right there 10:58:45 19 are some Rs and some Ps. Does the P mean Pending and 20 21 the R mean Residual? A. I think it's pending and/or released. 10:58:56 22 The P is for pending, can we agree on that? 10:59:00 23

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

19 11:00:05 20

11:00:09 21 11:00:21 22

23

H:00:28 24

1	right-hand column three or four lines down?
11:00:32 2	A. Yes.
11:00:41 3	Q. Have you ever seen anywhere a list of
4	actions performed on the Kearney claim?
10:46 5	A. No, I have not.
11.00:50 6	Q. Was there ever a written strategy prepared
7	on the Kearney claim?
11:00:55 8	A. I do not believe so.
11.00:56	Q. Is it the policy of DMS to develop
10	strategies for each claim?
11:01:01 <b>11</b>	A. No.
11:01:12 12	Q. Do you require the persons that work
13	underneath you to have a certain planned approach to
14	their administration of a claim?
H:01:29 15	A. No.
11:01:30 16	MR. ROBERTS: Let's go off the
17	record for a second.
поня 18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
19	record at 11 a.m.
11:12:57 20	(A recess was taken)
11:12:57 21	(Exhibit 30, marked)
11:12:58 22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at
23	11:12 a.m.
11:13:09 24	Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Mr. Ditmar, I've marked
	ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (4757 147-7806

1

2

4

5

9

11

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(1:15:09 3

11115:48 6

11:15:49 7

1E1551 8

11:15:54 10

11:27 09 12

11:27:09 13

11 27:13 15

1 as Exhibit 30 several documents all combined into one 2 exhibit which we'll call 30. The first two pages of 3 Exhibit 30 are 2001 Yearly Performance Plan and Review of Lance Faniel, which is Bates labeled DMS 018 and DMS 019. 11:13:35 6 MR. ELLIS: Do you have copies of 7 these for me, Counsel? 11:13:38 8 Q. (By Mr. Roberts) The next three pages are 2002 Yearly Performance Plan and Review of John 10 Midghall marked DMS 047, DMS 048, and DMS 049. 11:13:49 11 The next document is 2001 Yearly 12 Performance Plan and Review of John Midghall marked 13 DMS 050 and DMS 051. 11:14:02 14 The next is 2001 Yearly Performance Plan 15 and Review of Brian Wentworth marked DMS 025 and 026. 11-14-15 16 The next is Yearly Performance Plan and Review, Brian Wentworth, signed by John Midghall, 17 18 labeled DMS 027 and DMS 028. 11.14:29 19 The next is Yearly Performance Plan and 20 Review of Brian Wentworth marked DMS 029, 030, and 21

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

Plan and Review marked DMS 060 and DMS 061.

The next is John Graff Yearly Performance

The next is marked DMS 077, 78, 79, 80 and

68

67

81, which is the 2001 Yearly Performance Plan and Review of a Bill Gelardi. The next is Mr. Gelardi's review marked DMS 082 and 83. And also pertaining to Mr. Gelardi, DMS 084, 85, and 86, which we'll have as Exhibit 30. MR. ROBERTS: Do you want a copy? MR. ELLIS: Yes. MR. ROBERTS: Okay, why don't we go off the record so Mr. Ellis can have a copy. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 11:15 a.m. (A recess was taken) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at 11:26 a.m. MR. ELLIS: For the record, Counsel has just identified Exhibit 30, which contains a number of documents he identifies as parts of the personnel files of Mr. Faniel, Mr. Midghall, Mr. Wentworth, Mr. Graff, and Mr. Gelardi, all of which are the subject, as Counsel knows, to a protective order issued in

11:28:41 **23** 

11.28:42 24

11:14:40 22

11:14:53 24

23

1

the knowledge of this witness and not relevant to this case.

MR. ROBERTS: Let's go ahead and mark Exhibit 31, which is a letter on Wood & Lamping letterhead from Mr. William R. Ellis dated November 18, 2003. It says, "Dear Mike, I am enclosing Dr. Frey's report which I received by Express Mail this morning. Also I am enclosing the performance evaluations of the DMS employees previously identified by you. They are Bates numbered DMS016 to DMS099."

(Exhibit 31, marked)

MR. ROBERTS: The order that
Mr. Ellis speaks of relates to performance
evaluations that were marked confidential that
were produced after November 18, 2003 and does
not apply to these documents which have not
been identified either by letter or by some
mark on the document as confidential.

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Now, Mr. Ditmar can you --

MR. ELLIS: The objection stands.
MR. ROBERTS: Okay, fine.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

the Geoffries case. I will object to his use

of them as being in violation of that order.

I also object on the basis that they're beyond

1

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

3

7

18

21

23

24

пант 21

11:30:50 **13** 14

11:30:54 16

11:30:22 2

11:30:30 4

11:30:39 7

11.28.43 1	Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Mr. Ditmar, do you know
2	who Lance Faniel is?
1.2H.4H. 3	A. Yes.
28:49 4	Q. Do you know who John Midghall is?
12851 5	A. Yes.
1.28/52 6	Q. And what was John Midghall's position in
7	the company in 2001, if you know?
1:28.59 8	<ol> <li>A. I believe he was a vice president.</li> </ol>
1:29.0) 9	Q. Do you see where it says "Accomplishments"
10	on the first page of Exhibit 30?
1:29:07 11	A. Yes.
1:29:07 12	Q. And at the end of the first paragraph it
13	mentions the term "Dispute resolution." Are DMS'
14	claim reps and/or claims persons, including
15	directors, trained in dispute resolution?
29:36 16	<ul> <li>A. I believe one of the modules in the</li> </ul>
17	training program does discuss resolution.
29:44 18	Q. What other modules are in the training
19	program?
1:29:53 20	A. I believe there's between 10 and 15
21	different sections, letter writing, policies,
22	disability insurance, medical information,
23	investigations. I don't recall any other names.
13016 24	Q. When you say policies, what does that
	ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-4806
	ACCUMUL COURT REPORTING 1915/191-1800

11:31:20 1

11:31:24 3

11:31:26 4

11:31:29 6

7 8

9

10

11

13 14

15

17

18

19

22

23

24

appropriate?

1131:43 12

пана 16

11:32:04 20

11:32:05 21

2

module provide?

A. It discusses a basic disability insurance policy.

Can you turn to the second page of the exhibit which is DMS 019, which Mr. Ellis has graciously admitted is an authentic document.

> MR. ELLIS: I will have a continuing objection to all of this exhibits as a violation of the Court's order of confidentiality with regard to personal information concerning employees of DMS and their personnel files and reviews.

MR. ROBERTS: As stated, that protective order that Counsel mentions refers to a different set of documents, not these.

(By Mr. Roberts) But the last sentence of the first paragraph on 019 says, "As Lance gets his team to coalesce in 2002, he will expect improvement in the pace devoted to build a case, develop the strategy and when appropriate execute resolution."

Do you know what Mr. Midghall was referring to there about building a case, developing strategy, and then, when the time is appropriate, execute resolution?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

71

MR. ELLIS: Objection. It's an 11:32:15 1 11:32:19 2 improper question to ask this witness. Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Sir? MR. ELLIS: How can he know what's in Mr. Midghall's mind? 11.32:27 5 MR. ROBERTS: How many times do we 11:32:29 6 have to go over, Bill, the fact that when you say "Objection," that's all you're entitled to 11-12-18 8 say in a deposition. When will you abide by 11:32:48 9 the Code of Civil Procedures or the Code for 11:32:57 10 11-12-01 11 Professional Responsibility? Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Sir, do you know what it FE3301 12 is that Mr. Midghall is referring to when he нээн 13 discusses that Lance has been getting his team --11:33:05 14 strike that. 15 to 15 Do you know what he's referring to when he 11:33:10 16 discusses the concept of building a case, developing 11.3330 17 a strategy, and when appropriate, executing resolution? 11:33:12 19 11:33:12 20 A. I do not know. Q. Have you ever in your discussions with 11:33:16 22 Mr. Midghall have him refer to building a case,

A. I've never worked for John Midghall.

Has the concept of building a case and developing a strategy ever been discussed with you in the halls of DMS among any of your co-workers?

Not that I can recall.

Have you ever worked with any co-workers to develop a strategy on a claim?

I wouldn't use the term "strategy." A.

Have you heard Mr. Midghall refer to it? Q.

A. Have I heard Mr. Midghall refer to --

Refer to developing a strategy? Q.

No, I have not. A.

How about Mr. Anderson?

No, I have not.

Can you turn to the next page, DMS 0047? MR. ELLIS: Same objection.

MR. ROBERTS: You have a continuing

objection, Counsel.

MR. ELLIS: Thank you.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay, now I expect you to be mindful of that.

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) This is the 2002 Performance Plan and Review for John Midghall purportedly prepared by John Anderson. Now, you

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

developing a strategy, and executing resolution when

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

1

2

5

6

7

10

11

14

15 16

17

19

22

11:35:50 18

11:35:56 20

11:35:59 21

11:36:02 23

11:36:04 24

наат 3

11:35:08 **4** 

11:35:24 8

H.35:25 9

11:35:34 12

посы 13

	73
1	report to John Anderson, don't you?
11 33 25 2	A. Yes.
(1.33/25 3	Q. Paragraph B says, start at the second
4	sentence, "This includes providing guidance to the
5	on-site Assistant Vice President of Claims and three
6	managing Directors. Issues vary but also involve
7	current personnel and staffing, complex claim
8	strategies, claim resolution valuations, and managing
9	effectively to budget."
11:33:50 10	My question is, have you ever heard
11	Mr. Anderson, your superior, refer to developing
12	complex claim strategies?
11.34:05 13	A. No, I have not.
11:34:05 14	Q. How about is it expected, as far as you're
15	aware, or have you heard Mr. Anderson ever refer to
16	the process of developing a claim resolution
17	valuation?
11:34:12 18	A. No, I have not.
11:34:14 19	<ul> <li>Q. And in the next sentence he says,</li> </ul>
20	"Additionally, I direct our Boston lawyers regarding
21	case strategies including final decision for legal
22	exposure, legal expenses, settlement timing and
23	amounts."
11:34:30 24	Have you in the halls of DMS ever discussed

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

with your co-workers timing of settlement with claimants?

A. Not that I can recall.

Q. Can you turn to the Bates number document DMS 0050, which is the first page of the 2001 Performance Review of John Midghall purportedly performed by his manager, John Anderson?

MR. ELLIS: Same objection.

Page 19 of 30 74

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) And in the
Accomplishments section, Mr. Midghall -- he was the
vice president at this time, 2001?

A. I believe he was.

Q. In the Accomplishments section, he writes, the last sentence of that first paragraph, "My valuation and settlement strategies contributed heavily to the Boston legal staff favorably settling many existing lawsuits in 2001."

Are you mindful that Mr. Midghall engaged in developing settlement strategies in 2001?

A. I'm not aware of that, no.

Q. Have you ever been involved in developing settlement strategies?

No, I have not.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{Q}}.$  What is your understanding of my question

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

75

about your engagement in developing a settlement strategy? How did you understand that question?

A. I would understand that to say that strategy is setting out a plan of thought to settle the claim.

Q. Okay.

1

2

4

5

8

10

11

13

15

16

17

20

21

23

24

11:36:22 3

11:16:12 6

11.36:33 7

11:36:36 9

11.36:46 12

11:36:5) 14

11 37 05 18

11:37:08 **19** 

11:37:19 22

A. Saying I'm going to do whatever I need to do to settle this claim.

Q. So, in your experience at DMS it's never been the case where there's been such a plan developed, as far as you're aware, on any claim?

A. I've never been handed a claim and said,
"You need to settle this claim."

Q. That wasn't my question. My question was, in your experience at DMS, you've never been engaged in the development of a plan directed at ultimately settling a claim?

A. Not that I can recall.

Q. How about in your experience at DMS, have you ever been engaged in the development of a plan to administer a claim?

A. I wouldn't use the term "plan." We administer claims. I don't make action plans, so to speak, as I'm going to do this, this, this, and this.

1

2

3

9 11:37:59 **10** 

11:37:59 11 11:38:01 12 11:38:02 13

11:38:07 15 11:38:17 16 17

18

14

11:38:30 20 21

11:38:32 22 11:38:34 23 24 ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 147-11

I handle the claim as the information comes in, review the file, and determine what I need to do next. I don't have a written plan or a plan in my mind. I take it as it goes.

Q. I know there's no written plans, but is it your sworn testimony that on no claim you've ever been responsible for or involved in at DMS have you ever set out some kind of action steps to take in the future?

A. I may have.

Q. You can't recall any?

I don't recall specifically.

Q. And it's not the policy of DMS to develop strategies on claims?

A. It's not my policy.

Q. There's several references throughout these performance reviews to the DMS claim management philosophy. Does that term have any meaning to you?

MR. ELLIS: Same objection.

MR. ROBERTS: The same continuing objection?

MR. ELLIS: Mm-hmm.

MR. ROBERTS: A little redundant,

wouldn't you say?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

#### Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB 77Document 193

	Case 1.02-cv-00479-WRB 77D00
11:38:36 1	A. Somewhat.
11:3k:37 <b>2</b>	Q. Okay, what does it mean to you?
11:3K.39 <b>3</b>	<ul> <li>A. I would say my philosophy that I teach to</li> </ul>
4	my claims folk that work for me is to make sure we
5	administer every claim fairly, equitably and
6	ultimately make the correct decision.
11:38:57 <b>7</b>	Q. Does that philosophy come from on high,
8	higher than you, or is that something that you've
9	developed and you provide to your subordinates?
11:39:05 10	<ul> <li>A. I think that's the general philosophy at</li> </ul>
11	the company that comes from John Anderson and Bob
12	Bonsall.
11:39:37 13	Q. Can you turn to what's labeled DMS 0027.
14	Do you recognize Mr. Midghall's handwriting?
f1.39:51 <b>15</b>	A. Somewhat.
11:39:53 16	Q. This purports to be his signature at the
17	bottom and his handwriting commenting on
18	Mr. Wentworth's performance in 2000.
11:49:02 19	MR. ELLIS: Objection.
20	Mr. Wentworth was not involved in this case or

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Three lines up from the

MR. ROBERTS: Was your other

this claim or even in this office.

objection not adequate, you believe?

21

23

11:40:10 22

11:40:14 24

11:41:22 1

11:41:22 3

11:41:23 4

11:41:26 6

H1:41.28 8

11.41:32 11

1141-12 12

11:41:34 14

11410N 16

11:41:40 18

HABAE 19

HI41:45 21

11.41:45 22

11.41:46 23

2

5

9

10

13

15

17

20

24

resolving --

I was --

bottom of that first paragraph he mentions the concept of quote, "Cases which present opportunity for resolution."

Page 20 of 30 78

Has he ever -- has Mr. Midghall ever had a discussion with you where he discusses the concept of cases which present opportunities for resolution?

A. No, he has not.

Filed 09/07/2007

1 2

3

5

6 11:40:37 7

11:40.26 4

11:20:18 B

11 40:49 10

11:40:51 11

12

13

14 15

16

18 19

21

23

num 17

11:41:20 20

11:41:20 22

11:41:21 24

11:41:48 1

11:41:56 4

11:41:57 5

11:41:59 7

11:42:92 10

2

3

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

21

22

24

11.42:00 15

11:42:12 17

16426V 20

(1:42:30 **23** 

Q. It is a business plan or goal of DMS to resolve cases, right?

A. I wouldn't say that, no.

Q. Well, there's several references in these documents and others I've seen authored by DMS that talk about resolving a claim, and even in Mr. Kearney's claim file there are many references to making efforts to resolve his claim. Is that not --

is resolving claims not an objective of DMS?

MR. ELLIS: Objection. Unless you want him to show him the documents you're referring to.

A. I don't know what context it was stated in Mr. Kearney's file.

I'm not asking you about Mr. Kearney's file --

Well, it --

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

79

Q. I asked you the question, sir.

We can't talk at the same tim Q. courtesy to her.

A. I was trying to answer your que You're --

You weren't answering the que question was this -- and let's make sure w understand each other --

MR. ELLIS: Mr. Robert

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) -- as a courte court reporter. Is the concept --

A. I was trying to answer your qu you interrupted me.

Q. I'm going to talk over you because going to let you control this deposition.

A. I'm not trying to.

Q. It's her that you're inconvenie This is the question.

A. I was trying to answer your que

This is the question.

MR. ELLIS: If you're n permit him to answer the question -

MR. ROBERTS: Listen, don't talk over me. Listen, I will talk over you and make her life hell.

> MR. ELLIS: This deposition's over. MR. ROBERTS: No. that is wrong.

Let's get on the phone right now.

MR. ELLIS: Do what you want, Mike. Unless you let the witness answer the question --

MR. ROBERTS: He's not answering the question. That wasn't the question. Here's the question. Let's go back on the record. Here's the question. We're still running videotape, right?

answering what you asked him.

MR. ROBERTS: That wasn't what I asked him, Counsel. Here is the question, plain and simple again.

resolving claims something that is a mission or business philosophy of DMS?

Is	
e, out of	
estion.	
estion. The ve	
s esy to the	
estion and	
ause I'm not	
encing, sir.	
estion.	
ot going to -	

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

80

MR. ELLIS: He's entitled to finish

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Is the concept of

A. Can you have her read back the question that you started to ask me four minutes ago and I can

	01
1	explain what I was going to say.
(42.37 2	Q. If you answer the question, you can
3	explain. But if you don't answer the question I'm
4	going to ask the question. You see, this is a
5	deposition, sir. Questions are asked by the lawyer,
6	the witness is under oath in a duty to answer the
7	questions asked. Nothing else. Do you understand?
42:53 8	A. Yes. I would like
142.55 9	Q. Let's go back to that question that he
10	wants to answer this time.
1:42:59 11	A. Before you proceeded to interrupt me.
43:59 12	THE COURT REPORTER: "Question:
13	Well, there's several references in these
14	documents and others I've seen authored by
15	DMS that talk about resolving a claim, and
16	even in Mr. Kearney's claim file there are
17	many references to making efforts to resolve
18	his claim. Is that not is resolving
19	claims not an objective of DMS?
44:00 ZO	A. In regards to your statement, I was trying
21	to answer the question in that I have not seen the
22	statement resolution in context with Mr. Kearney's
23	claim file. What is the second part of that
24	question.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1906

11.44:20 1 Q. Well, the only part of the question, quote, 2 Is resolving claims not an objective of DMS? The 3 only question asked and still not answered. 11.44.29 4 A. It depends. 114431 5 It depends. It depends on what? 11.44.34 6 It depends on the specific claim you're 7 handling. 11 44 39 8 Q. Okay. So, it is not an overriding 9 objective of DMS to resolve claims? 11.44.48 10 A. What do you mean by resolve? 11:44:50 11 Make them go away. You inherit or you 12 administer closed blocks of business for insurance 13 companies, correct? (3:45:02 14 Some of the business is closed. 11450a 15 Let's talk about the Jefferson-Pilot. 16 Jefferson-Pilot in January of 2000 sent to DMS. 17 you've testified under oath previously 500, today you 18 say 300 and something claims, correct? ная 19 Yes. 11:45:16 20 That was a closed block of business, O 21 correct? 11:45:18 22 A. Yes. 11.45:19 23 Q. Those were all active claims for which 24 claimants were getting checks monthly or

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

84

83

1 periodically, right? 11:45:26 2 A. I believe some of the claims were pending 3 that we received. 11.45:30 4 Q. Is it your testimony under oath, sir, that it isn't the business philosophy or objective of DMS 6 to resolve ongoing claims such as those for less than 7 the actuarial reserve on the claims? 11:45:49 8 MR. ELLIS: Objection. 11.45.50 9 A. And you're using the term resolved, to make 10 them go away, is that correct? 11.45.57 11 Q. Correct. 11:45:58 12 Α. That is not how we were administering this 13 block. 11:46:05 14 Q. Again, that wasn't my question. I didn't 15 ask you how you were administering the block. I 16 never said that, okay? The question is simple. In 17 situations such as you had with Jefferson-Pilot, 18 where you were asked to administer a closed block of 19 business, is it not the objective of DMS to resolve 20 as many claims as it can for less than the actuarial 21 reserve on the respective claims? 11.46.34 22 MR. ELLIS: Same objection. 11:46:35 23 A. No, that is not the objective. 46.36 24 Very well. Can you turn to DMS 0029 of

Exhibit 30. This is a Yearly Performance Plan and 1 2 Review purportedly for Brian Wentworth. And under 3 Accomplishments, the third asterisked paragraph, it 4 says, "Ongoing effort to orient staff to DMS 5 philosophies and methods." 11:47:11 6 Do you know what he's referring to, or what's your understanding of DM5's methods? 11:47:16 8 A. I don't know what he's referring to. 11:47:20 9 Do you have an understanding of what DMS' 10 methods means? 11.47.29 11 A. No, I do not. 11.47.30 12 13 John Graff is? 11:47:44 14 15 office. 114747 16 17 business? 11:47:51 18 A. I believe so. 114751 19 20 21

22

23

24

Q. Can you turn to DMS 0060. Do you know who A. I believe he's an examiner in the Boston Q. Working on the Mass Casualty block of Q. He writes in here as a goal, at the bottom of DMS 0060, "A few of my goals are to become more familiar with the different policies and riders to become a good field representative for my clients and to" quote "become more familiar with the DMS claims settlement process."

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (U13) 747-180K

1 say that on the record. 11.49:36 2 3 4 5 6 7 11:49:54 8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

11:50:48 23

11 50:15 14

11:59:24 15

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) You see in "Manager's Comments," at DMS 0078, the first paragraph says, "Additionally, I believe claim specialists must have excellent communication skills, the ability to work independently, formulate and implement appropriate claim management strategies."

Now, let's assume for purposes of this question that Maureen Cleary is, as is represented by this document, a manager of DMS. Have you ever heard anyone at DMS refer to the formulation and implementation of appropriate claim management strategies?

A. Not that I can recall.

In the third paragraph of Manager Comments, she states it again. At the end of the third line she writes, "However, your" -- talking about the specific employee -- "letter writing skills and abilities to formulate and effectively implement appropriate claim management strategies simultaneously within your caseload was below expectations."

Tell me, as a supervisor and manager at DMS, do you have an expectation that your

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1906

87

subordinates will implement appropriate claim management strategies?

1

2

4

7

8

10 11

12

14

15

16

17

19

22

23

24

11:52.03 18

11:52:08 20

11:52:11 21

11:51:45 13

11:51:03 3

11:51:12 6

11:51:22 9

A. I don't know what's meant by those terms, so I don't know if I do or not. My understanding of reading that may be different from hers.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

Q. Okay. What's your understanding of what she might be referring to? How do you approach that language there?

A. I believe I tried to define what is meant by strategy before, and I would not say that I have strategies or that my people that work for me develop strategies in terms of claims management.

So, in your work and in your history with DMS, you would testify under oath that there's never been an occasion where you have directed anybody or personally created any particular strategy on any claim for which you had involvement, is that true?

Well, it depends what's meant by strategy, A. because --

The way you understand the word strategy. Q.

There might be an instance where I'm saying I planned, there may be an instance where I requested medical records with the thought of once I get the records I may do an independent medical examination.

Is that considered a plan? It may be, I don't know.

Something like that would be the extent of any strategy in which you've been involved at DM5?

88

A. It depends. It may involve another step. I may say once I get that medical exam it may be reviewed by our in-house medical people. I don't know. Each and every claim's different.

Would your strategies go further than that, just a two-step strategy that you've laid out for us?

A. I don't know.

Q. Can you turn to DMS 0081. This is the second page of an e-mail string that begins at DMS 0080 which is part of the exhibit. And it begins in the middle of a fairly long paragraph at the top of 081. And four lines up from the bottom of that conclusion of that paragraph there's a sentence at the end that says, "I clarified performance" -- do you see that?

A.

She writes, "I clarified performance expectations in terms of appropriate claim handling and the need for management to ensure that all employees were exercising appropriate judgment in pursuing negotiated resolutions appropriately."

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (613) 767-1906

11:52:58 11 12

13

11:53:37 19 11.53:37 20

## Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB <sub>89</sub> Document 193

Based on your history with DMS in your position, do you personally feel that management has a need to ensure that all employees are exercising appropriate judgment, number one, and number two, pursuing negotiated resolutions appropriately?

11:53:55 1

103423 6

11:54:26 8

ri-34:35 10

1136at 12

11:54:50 14

11:54:53 15

11:55:05 16

11:55:05 17

11:55:10 21

11:53:13 22

11:55:14 23

11:55:15 24

01:24:46 1

mais 3

01:25:02 6

01:25:27

01:25:29

at-2536 10

01:25:37 11

01:25:40 12

81:25:44 **13** 

01:25:50 15

01:25:51 16

01:25:53 18

01:25:57 19

o1.26:08 **22** 

01:26:10 23

26:14 24

14

17

20

21

01:25:00

2

5

7

18

19

20

11

13

2

3

7

A. I don't know what is meant by that statement.

What would you understand the term "negotiated resolutions" to mean?

A. If we're involved with a dispute with an insured and we were able to reach a compromise.

Q. And that's not something you attempt with every claim?

A. I'm not in a dispute in every claim.

You don't try to compromise every claim?

What do you mean by compromise?

Q. Get the policyholder to agree to accept a certain amount of money that's less than the present day value of the benefits through the termination of the contract?

A. No, I do not.

THE WITNESS: What time is it? MR. ELLIS: Five to twelve. THE WITNESS: I'd like to take a

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

break for lunch, please.

MR. ROBERTS: Absolutely.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 11:54 a.m.

(A recess was taken)

Page 23 of 30<sub>90</sub>

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at 1:23 p.m.

(By Mr. Roberts) Mr. Ditmar, you are under oath still. Did you discuss your testimony with

Mr. Ellis during the lunch break?

A.

Filed 09/07/2007

1

4

5

g

10

11:35:18 2

11.5539 3

01:23:06 6

01:24:13 8

01:24:18 11

U1:24:20 12

01:24:23 13

01:24:27 15

01-24-28 16

01:24:31 17

ar-24.32 18

01:24:39 22

01:24:44 24

19

20

23

Do you refer to Mr. Ellis as "Big Brother"? Q.

A. No.

Have you ever heard that expression? at 24.24 14 Q.

> Vaguely. A.

Regarding Mr. Ellis? Q.

A.

Do you perform performance evaluations of your subordinates that work in the Jefferson-Pilot block of business?

01:24:38 21

Q. For how many years have you performed that function?

Since 2000.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

91

Could you list for me the persons who you have reviewed?

Bob Mills. Tony Marecki.

Could you spell that for the court reporter?

M-A-R-E-C-K-I. Dave LaPorte. Mary McFall. Mariah Shea. Jacqueline Smegal.

Could you spell that last name, please?

S-M-E-G-A-L.

I believe that's it.

Who is your review performed by?

Bill Hughes and John Anderson.

Has it always been both of those gentlemen, or at least since 2000?

I don't recall.

Has Bill Hughes done your reviews since 2000?

In part with John, yes.

Q. Let's turn your attention back to Exhibit 30, if we could. DMS 0081 is the page we left off on.

> A. Yes.

And the second paragraph.

MR. ELLIS: Continuing my objection

1

6

7 01:26:32 8

01:26:38 9 01:26:39 10

01:26:42 11 01.26:45 12

01:26:48 13 14

at 28:50 15 01:26:59 16

> 17 18

01:27:06 19 01:27:07 20 21

22 01:27:17 23

01:27:20 24

92

with this exhibit, questions concerning it.

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) The second sentence says, "I suggested you follow up on the caseload review I conducted with you on August 30th as this was intended to help you identify auto pay/settlement cases."

Does the phrase "auto pay/settlement cases" mean anything to you?

A. . . Not necessarily.

What do you mean, not necessarily?

I don't know what she meant in saying that.

Forget about the context --

I've heard the term "auto pay," I've heard the term "settlement." I don't know what she means.

What is an auto pay case?

I don't know what her understanding is of the term auto pay. My understanding of the term auto pay?

Q.

Would mean that it's a claim where the payments continue to go out automatically with less periodic review.

How much less could that possibly be?

It depends. Usually or typically a claim

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

would be paid without review for six months or less, 1 2 sometimes it may only be once a year depending on the nature of the circumstances of the claim. 3 Do you know what the meaning is to the --01 27 37 4 or do you have an understanding of what it means to 5 identify a settlement case? 6 A. I don't know what she means, again, in her 61:27 49 7 terms. A settlement, typically I would refer to it 8 as a claim where you reach a compromise with the 9 10 insured and the claim is resolved. All right. Is there efforts undertaken in 01.28:04 11 your department, or in the block of business you 12 13 work, to identify -- to affirmatively identify 14 settlement cases? It depends on the case. If a case where 01:28:14 15 the facts are warranted that we would settle the 16 case, we would do that. 17 Q. Do you have an understanding of the phrase 01/28/21 18 "advance pay & close opportunity" in that sentence? 19 01:28:31 20 A. Again, I don't specifically know what she meant. I have an understanding of the term advance 21 22 Q. Does "advance pay" go with "& close m-28-36 23 opportunity"? 24

m-28-44 1

01:28:46 2

01.28:49 4

3

5

6

7

R

9

23

ar-ton 24

01:31:31 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

01:31:35 8

01:31:35 9

01:31:38 10

01:31:38 11

01:31:50 15

01:32:06 18

01:32:14 21

#1-12-17 **22** 

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

23

24

term "advance pay & close"? A. In a case, for example, where a person might have a broken leg, and it's agreed with their physician and our medical department and all other circumstances where an insured might be able to go back to work six months from now, we may pay the claim in advance for six months and agree that at that point the insured would be able to go back to

It could be termed "advance pay & close."

What would be your understanding of the

If additional complications arose, the insured could call us back and say, "Hey, I need two more weeks of benefits."

work, so we would pay it and close the claim.

Q. For the record, the sentence says "was intended to help you identify auto pay/settlement cases, possible advance pay & close opportunities, et cetera." You gave me a health insurance example. Has there ever been a case in your experience in the disability insurance field where you've been involved in the advance pay and close of a disability claim for which there was more than five years potentially left before the policy would otherwise terminate? MR. ELLIS: I object to counsel's

misstatement to the witness's testimony.

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

if --

mates 21

01:31:06 22

01:31:09 24

01.30:37 12

64:30:22 8

01:30:04 2

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

A. The example I gave you could be a disability claim. He could have a policy that pays to age 65. He could be 40 years old, but if he breaks his leg, his claim in a sense would end and he would return to work and his policy would still be in

Q. Have you ever been involved in a matter where there has been an advance pay and close of a claim where the advance pay has been greater than five years of benefits?

A. You're asking -- I just want to clarify. Advance pay and close, my understanding of advance pay and close, the insured still has the policy, still keeps it. The policy doesn't end just because you pay the claim. They still keep the policy. The policy doesn't end because we paid him six months of benefits. It's just returned to a premium paying basis and his claim, in a sense, is over. That's my understanding of advance pay and close.

Q. How does "close" work into that?

It means the claim has closed. Where,

But the policy's not terminated?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

A. If you, for example, broke your leg and you filed paperwork, you would have an open claim. When you returned to work and were no longer paying benefits, the claim's closed, you start paying premiums again if you qualified for a waiver of premium in that period in between. I'm sorry, I forgot your question.

Back to my question --

Of the five-year portion?

Right.

Through my experience, advance pay and close is usually something where you pay no more than six months in advance. I've not -- I cannot recall a circumstance where I've paid five years.

Q. Her next sentence she says, "We also agreed to continue to use Management Referrals to assist you with claim strategy on complex files."

Do claim examiners have the opportunity to request management referrals to assist with claim strategy, as far as you know?

A. I have never heard that term.

She uses capital "M" and capital "R" there. Does the capitalized term Management Referrals have

95

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

any meaning to you whatsoever?

96

01:32:24 1	A. No, it does not.
01:32:44 2	Q. Moments before we began this morning, your
3	counsel was kind enough to timely provide to me a
4	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company spread sheet
5	of some sort that's been marked as Exhibit 27. Do
6	you have that before you?
01:33:03 7	A. Yes.
01:33:04 8	(Exhibit 29, marked)
01:33:04 9	Q. (By Mr. Roberts) And I brought with me a
10	document that I intended to mark and I've now marked
11	as Exhibit 29, which is the same document, only an
12	earlier iteration, right?
01/33/26 13	MR. ELLIS: Do you have a copy of 29
14	for me? Thank you.
01:33:39 15	A. It appears to be a copy of a similar
16	document. Some of the numbers appear to be a little
17	different.
01:33:45 18	Q. We'll get into that in a second. But you
19	would agree with me it's
01:33:50 <b>20</b>	A. It's a copy of the Jefferson-Pilot monthly
21	inventory report.
01:33:53 22	Q. That's how you refer to it, the monthly
23	inventory report?
01:33:57 <b>24</b>	A. Yes.

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

01:33:58 1	Q. And does DMS maintain a monthly inventory
2	report and provide a monthly inventory report to all
3	of its clients for whom it handles blocks of
4	business?
01:34:10 5	A. I don't know.
01:34.1) 6	<ul> <li>Q. Are you mindful of this information being</li> </ul>
7	shared with clients other than Jefferson-Pilot?
01:34:22 8	<ul> <li>A. I am aware that this is sent to other</li> </ul>
9	clients.
01:34:25 10	Q. This format?
01:34:26 11	A. This format with their company title on the
12	top.
01:34:29 13	Q. No other company gets Jefferson-Pilot's
14	information?
01.34:33 15	A. That's correct.
01:34:33 16	Q. Does it go to Employers Reinsurance?
01:34:36 17	A. I do not believe so.
01:34:38 18	<ul> <li>Q. Whose responsibility is it to gather,</li> </ul>
19	compute, print, and send this information on a
20	monthly basis?
01:34:45 21	<ul> <li>A. I have some of my staff complete the</li> </ul>
22	information that's input each month. This form is
23	provided on a monthly basis, so this form that you
24	provided me, Exhibit 29, would have been faxed to

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

99

100

them at the end of June or beginning of July. 1 Q. Of 2003? 01:35:01 2 61:35:03 3 Of 2003. So, depending on the month, I just keep adding additional information to this form. 4 5 I don't save each month's form necessarily. I just 6 keep adding new information to this form. 01:35:15 7 Q. So it's a living document? Yes. b1:35:17 **8** 01:35:18 9 At the end of the year I do go back 10 personally and audit, so to speak, to make sure the numbers are correct. That, I assume, is why those --11 12 this document was done in June and the Exhibit 27 is 13 somewhat different. a1:35:37 **14** Q. Some of the numbers in the first six months 15 of June 2003 on Exhibit 29 are not materially but slightly different --16 Slightly different. 01.35.45 17 -- than Exhibit 27? 01:35:47 18 01:35:48 19 Yes. 01.35:49 20 There might be entries that are one or two Q. or three off? 21 01:35:51 **22** A. Yes. 01:35:54 23 And that type of discrepancy might result 24 from annual audits or reviews that you spot check?

24

A. Periodically I would go back into -- the claim system has an area where I can go back and, instead of receiving 25 new notices I realized that there were 27, sometimes information is input at different times, that may cause a change.

Q. Are there quarterly reports provided to Jefferson-Pilot?

A. This is the report that's provided on a monthly basis. It's provided every month.

Are there any quarterly reports that are provided to Jefferson-Pilot?

A. Other than this document, no.

Q. Well, this is provided monthly. Are there quarterly reports?

A. This is provided monthly. I'm not aware of any quarterly reports.

Q. Are you mindful that the Claim Assessment Agreement requires that there be quarterly reports and that Ms. Lofton testified last week that she gets quarterly reports?

A. I don't send this document to Ms. Lofton. I'm not aware of the specifics of the Claim Assessment Agreement. My understanding is I was to provide this information to Jefferson-Pilot on a

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (U13) 747-1806

1	monthly basis.
01.37-11 2	Q. Let's talk about the first four months of
3	2004 on Exhibit 27.
01:37:20 4	A. Okay.
01:37:24 5	Q. "Active Claims" means open claims that DM5
6	is administering that month?
61:37:32 7	<ol> <li>A. It would be an open or pending claim.</li> </ol>
0E:37:36 <b>8</b>	Q. What does "New Notice" mean?
01:37:38 9	<ol> <li>That is a claim that we receive from</li> </ol>
10	Jefferson-Pilot. It would be a new notice of a
11	disability claim.
61:37:45 12	Q. And "Reinstatement"?
01.37.46 13	<ul> <li>A. If a claim had previously closed and the</li> </ul>
14	insured wanted us to reopen the claim, they had
15	additional problems, that would be a reinstatement.
01:37:58 16	Q. And "New Active Claims"?
01:38:00 17	A. New Active Claim would mean a claim sent by
18	Jefferson-Pilot to us for management where they're
19	already actively receiving disability benefits or
20	it's impending for some period of time.
61:38:14 21	Q. "Advanced Pay" in the "Closed Claims"
22	section, we discussed that?
01:38:17 23	A. We discussed that previously.
01:38:19 24	Q. "Death"?
	ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

An insured dies.

reinstatement?

"Denied"? Q. Denied means under the terms of their policy they're not eligible for benefits for that

Q. Are those subject to potential

A. Usually a reinstatement would be only a reinstatement if there was a payment made on that claim, but I guess there could be a circumstance where their claim was denied, they sent in additional information and it was reconsidered and subsequently paid. I quess that's a possibility.

What about "Max Paid"?

They received the maximum benefits allowable for their claim. Say they had a two-year benefit period, they received benefits for 24 months, the claim is closed and we would have to determine whether or not they were eligible for ongoing waiver of premium.

So in the year 2004, there were four instances of that type of scenario in the month of February but otherwise no others in the other first four months of 2004?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

103

That's correct.

81:39:33 1

01:39:36 2

01:39:43 4

01:39:57 8

01:40:03 10

3

5

6

9

11

12

13

14

01:40:18 15

01:40:21 16

01:40:25 17

01:40:29 18

61 40:40 21

19

20

22

23

24

"No POL, Document needed to evaluate Q. benefit eligibility not submitted"?

POL stands for Proof of Loss. Most likely they didn't send in claim forms. They filed a notice of claim, said "I hurt my back," but never sent in a

Q. So is that a subset of Active Claims or New Notice or New Active Claims?

A. It would be on a New Notice, most likely. It could be a circumstance where a person has been on Claim No, and we've requested information such as ongoing continuance of disability forms and they just never send it in.

Q. So you put them on No POL?

It could be that:

Q. Is that different than Withdrawn?

Withdrawn would be a new notice and they contacted us and said "I've decided not to pursue my claim. Withdraw my claim."

Q. The definition section says Withdraw means "Claim closed due to voluntary non-submission of proof." So that's an actual affirmative "I don't want to present a claim anymore because I don't want

to give you the proof"?

That's correct.

Versus No POL, which means in DMS's judgment there was not sufficient submission of proof of loss by the insured?

A. That's correct. Or they never called us and said, "Yes, I'd like to withdraw my claim."

What does "Recovered" mean?

They, in most cases, have returned to work. Their condition has improved which has allowed them to go back and resume their job.

Q. And "Rescinded"?

Rescinded would be a claim that was filed in the contestable period and through referral to Jefferson-Pilot they've indicated that they would not have issued the policy had they been aware of certain information. Jefferson-Pilot refunds the premiums and the contract is declared null and void.

So those are the claims that are contested during the contestability stage?

A. If the claim was in the contestable period and we determined that there was inaccurate information provided on the application we would recommend a recision that's processed by

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

104

24

1

01:41:29 13

14 15

16 17 18

01:41:51 19 20

22

01:41:55 21

23

#### Case 1:02-01-00/70-MRR Document 193

		Case 1.02-CV-00479-WIND 105D0C0
	1	Jefferson-Pilot.
03.42.09	2	Q. Can you have a recision outside the
	3	contestability stage?
-01:42:17	4	<ul> <li>A. I'm not sure what you mean by</li> </ul>
	5	contestability stage.
01:42:20	6	Q. Well, isn't it generally there's a

There's a two-year contestable period. Some of the Jefferson-Pilot policies have what's called tolling language, which means they exclude if a person becomes disabled within that first two years, the contestability period continues to toll because the period of disability is not counted towards that first two years.

two-year contestability period in the policies?

Q. What are "Settlements"?

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

augm 23

01:42.42 15

111474K 16

01:42.24 8

Settlement is either a claim or policy settlement where we reach a compromise with the insured, and it may be a circumstance where the claim is over, they continue keeping their policy and it's returned to a premium paying basis, or a policy settlement which in exchange for a sum of money their policy is canceled.

Q. Is there a further breakdown of Settlements into those two categories somewhere?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

A

Filed 09/07/2007

01.43.19 1

at:43:19 **2** 

nratic 4

01.43:26 5

01:43:27 6

01:43:28 7

01:43:29 8

01:44:09 11

01:44:10 12

m 44 1 14

01:46:28 15

01:46:31 17

9

10

13

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

01:46:51 24

01:48:32 1

g1:48:33 **2** 

01.48:44 5

01:48:44 6

3

4

7 8

3

Q. Is there a report of litigation matters that's prepared for Jefferson-Pilot?

Α No.

That you're aware of? Q.

Not that I'm aware of.

(Exhibit 32, marked)

Page 27 of 30<sub>106</sub>

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Marked as Exhibit 32 are some more of these performance reviews I'd like to go over with you.

MR. ROBERTS: Let's switch tapes. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 1:43 p.m.

(Off the record)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at

1:45 p.m.

MR. ELLIS: With regard to Exhibit 32 I'm making the same objection, that subject to a protective order in a prior case these are parties, people that are not involved in this particular case in any way and that I will object to any questions concerning these documents and object to the documents.

MR. ROBERTS: It's an inaccurate

108

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

107

And John Graff works on that business?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

I believe so.

This document purports to be his performance review in the year 2002, and specifically at page 2 do you see where the heading says "Goals"?

> A. Yes.

Right above that paragraph, the last sentence of that paragraph says "Members of the claim department have asked for my opinion on different claim situations and I have discussed strategy with them when appropriate."

Is that a common experience at DMS where representatives or claim reps among themselves or with their superiors discuss strategy on particular claims?

A. I don't know what she means by the term "strategy." Claims people do discuss claim situations or claims with each other and/or managers. I don't know what they mean by the term "strategy," necessarily.

Q. I think that's Mr. Graff's statement there in his Accomplishments section.

A. Okay.

Do you fill out the same type of performance review form?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

statement that these documents are subject to 1 a protective order in a prior case. 2 01:46:55 3 Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Mr. Ditmar, the second page, DMS 017, this is Mr. Midghall's performance 4 review of a gentleman named Lance Faniel, and 5 approximately halfway down this long paragraph 6 there's a sentence that starts in the middle of the page, "Since" -- do you see that? 8 01:47:24 9 "Since this agreement"? 01:47:27 10 Yes. " -- I have had a few strategy meetings with Lance." 11 Have you ever discussed with Mr. Midghall 01:47:32 12 the conduct of strategy meetings with subordinates on 13 14 claims? 01:47:39 15 No, I have not. Q. I want you to skip several documents to DMS 01:48:01 16 055. I think they're in numerical order. Do you 17 18 know Cheryl Blomgren? A. I believe she's a director in the Boston 01:48:21 19 20 office. Which is where the Massachusetts Casualty 01:48:26 21 Q. 22 business is? 01:48:30 23 1.4831 24

### nent 193

Cas	se 1:02-cv-00479-MRB <sub>109</sub> Docum
Α.	For my people?
Q.	Yes.
A.	Yes.
Q.	And so you recognize this as a DMS
performa	nce review form?
A.	Yes.
Q.	In the third page of his review there,
0056, the	e last paragraph, the end of the fourth line
it says	and this purportedly is Cheryl Blomgren's
superviso	r saying, "He knows when to move the claim
to the ne	xt level for review or strategy."

Have you ever had a discussion with Ms. Blomgren where she talks about her expectation that the claims folks beneath her move their claims to a strategy level?

A. No, I have not.

01:49:3x 1 01 49 39 2

01:49.41 4 5

01:49:44 6

01-49-49 7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

24

01:51:00 23

01:52:41 1

01:52:42 2

01:57:47 4

01:32:50 5

ot:53:06 **7** 

01:53:22 10

01:53:29 12

61.53:34 **14** 

er-53.36 15

01:53.19 16

3

6

8

9

11

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

01:54:15 23

01.50:18 16

01:50:18 17

01.5036 12

3

Q. 0058, the second page of Mr. Graff's 2001 review, and in the middle of the page there's a paragraph that has the heading "Goals." The fourth line he expresses the interest that he, quote, "Wants to become even more familiar with the claim philosophy."

Is there a claim philosophy at DMS other than we make every effort to in good faith fairly,

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

fully, and accurately administer claims?

A. I believe I had indicated before that the only philosophy I'm aware of in respect to claims is that we handle claims fairly, equitably and try to make the correct decisions.

Q. Can you turn to 0064. Do you know who Jeff Champagne is?

Page 28 of 30<sub>110</sub>

A. Yes.

Filed 09/07/2007

1

3

4

5

01:51:15 2

01:31:50 6 7

01:51:56 8

01:52:00 9

01:52:13 12

01:52:13 13

01:52:18 17

10 11

14

15 01:52:18 16

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

3

5

6

9

12

14

15

01:54:24 2

01:54:44 4

01:54:49 7

01:54:52 8

01:54:55 10

02:13:10 11

02:13:16 13

02:13:32 16

01:52:33 22

Q. In his Accomplishments section which starts on the first page, 0064, then carries over to 0065, I want to concentrate on 0065 briefly, if we could.

A. Okay.

The second page, the first paragraph starts with "Additionally," the next paragraph starts "In-house"?

Yes.

The second paragraph says "In-house Q. training conducted by myself included discussions on file assessment and strategy, phone calls on difficult cases and complicated issues, and conference calls on resolution cases."

Does Mr. Champagne hold a similar position in the Boston office that you hold here in Springfield, Massachusetts?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

111

Somewhat. You both are responsible for a block of

business?

A. Yes.

Do you conduct in-house training on file assessment and strategy for your folks?

A. I train my people on how to administer claims periodically through working with them on a one-on-one basis.

"resolution cases."

purports to be a review performed by Mr. Midghall of a gentleman named Rick Turek. And the last line of this first page, 0073, recites a goal of, quote, "Developing better strategies when attempting to resolve" and then carried over to the next page, 0074, "claim issues."

Are you mindful of other co-workers at DMS having goals of developing strategies when attempting

to resolve claim issues?

A. I'm not aware of other individuals' goals, other than the individuals that work with myself.

MR. ROBERTS: Okay, Bill, why don't you make your call now. Do you want this on or off the record?

MR. ELLIS: Doesn't matter to me. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 1:54 p.m.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on record at 2:12 p.m.

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) When we went off record, Mr. Ditmar -- we're back on record and you're still under oath. You understand that?

We were talking about the persons who you supervised and who supervised you, I believe. Actually, we did that a lot earlier, I apologize. We just finished Exhibit 32.

DMS about any substantive matters that you're dealing

A. If I have a question I may speak with them.

Q. Have you ever heard Mr. Champagne use the term "resolution cases"? A. I'm not sure what he means by the term Q. Have you ever heard him use that phrase? No, I have not. A. Can you turn to DMS 0073. And this

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (443) 747-190K

112

02:13:33 17 18 19

23

02:14:01 24

with?

Do you ever speak with the legal staff at

### Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB <sub>113</sub>Document 193

Q. I'm not talking about litigation issues,
like today you're in a deposition and DMS' in-house
legal counsel is here. I'm talking about just the
day-to-day operations of what you do. Do you
sometimes come upon a situation that requires you
seek legal assistance?

- On occasion, yes.
- Do you know how many lawyers DMS has in-house?
- I believe there's four in Springfield and two or three in Boston.
- Q. Are those lawyers there at the disposal of the claims persons to dialogue with and answer questions for, or are they there to handle senior manager corporate issues, securities issues, or other issues unrelated to the daily functioning of DMS?
- A. It's my understanding they handle claims issues as well as non-claims issues for the company.
- Q. Are you mindful of any lawyers that don't handle claims issues for the company's employees that are dealing with those issues?
  - A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Are certain lawyers assigned to certain blocks of business?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

Certain lawyers deal with certain blocks of business at different times, yes.

Filed 09/07/2007

02:15:39 1

02:15 42 3

02:15:55

02:16:05 9

02.16:11 11

02:16:14 13

2

4

5

6

8

10

12

14

15

16

17

19

21

24

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

14

15

18

21

23

02:18:35 8

02:18:43 11

02.18:47 12

02:18:48 13

02:18:57 16

02:18:59 17

02:19:06 19

02:19:18 20

02:19:24 22

02:19:28 24

02:18:19 3

02:16:28 **18** 

02:16:36 20

02:16:43 22

02:16:43 23

Page 29 of 30<sub>114</sub>

Since January of 2000 do you know what lawyer may have been assigned primary responsibility for the Jefferson-Pilot block of business?

I don't recall the date. At a certain time Mr. Formus has been working with the Jefferson-Pilot block of business

Q. Are you mindful of anyone else having primary responsibility for that block of business?

I don't know that that's his primary responsibility.

Q. Not his primary responsibility, but on behalf of the claims reps that administer the block of business for Jefferson-Pilot, has there at any point in time been someone other than Mr. Formus who's been the go-to person in the legal department?

At Disability Management Services, not that I'm aware of.

Q. You had mentioned earlier that there's certain training modules, do you recall that?

A.

And you said there was, I don't know, ten or twelve or fifteen, whatever your testimony was, I

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

115

can't recall, but then you listed for me a couple.

And one of those training modules had to do with just disability policies generally, I took it?

> A. Yes.

Who provides the training on that topic?

I don't recall.

Is there some person in the company or is it just every manager's responsible for giving that training to whoever becomes their subordinate?

The training program was designed when they hire a new person to the company. I don't recall the date it was started. I believe it was in 2000 or 2001 when this training program began. I don't know who runs that certain module.

Q. Do you know if there's any documents that are provided to the new person going through those training modules?

A. I don't believe there are any documents provided to the people being trained.

Q. Why is that?

A. I don't know, it's just more of a discussion basis.

Q. In the disability policy module, do the new

employees, are they trained in understanding the legal effect of ambiguities in insurance policies?

A. I don't know. I have not gone through the training program, nor was I present. It is my understanding there is a module that deals with basic information of a disability insurance policy. I don't know the specifics to that.

Do you have an understanding of how courts generally interpret ambiguities in insurance policies?

MR. ELLIS: Objection.

Not necessarily.

Have you ever had a discussion with anyone about how ambiguities in insurance policies are interpreted by the law or courts?

Not that I can recall.

Have you ever had a discussion about the interpretation of ambiguities in insurance policies?

Not that I can recall.

You've given five or six depositions in matters relating to Jefferson-Pilot, right?

A. I don't recall the exact number for Jefferson-Pilot.

Q. Does it sound about right?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

116

7 02.17:05 9

10

14

1

2 02:16:51

4

02:16:51 3

02:16:58 5

02:14:04 1 2

3

4

5

6

9

112:14:21 7

02:14:24 8

02:14:31 10 11

02:14:40 12

13 14

15

16

18

20

21

24

02.15:07 17

02:15:13 19

02:15:21 22

02:15:28 23

18 02.17:00 **19** 

20 mara 21 02:17:46 22

23 02:18:07 24

#### Filed 09/07/2007 Case 1:02-cv-00479-MRB 117 Document 193

		117
02:19:31 1	A.	It may be. It may be more.
02:19:36 2	Q.	Was there a Calvanese claimant that you
3	testified in?	
02:19:40 4	A.	I believe I was deposed in the Calvanese
5	case.	
02.19:44 6	Q.	How about King?
02:19:45 7	A.	Yes.
02:19:46 8	Q.	And there's a name I can't pronounce,
9	P-S-T-E-R-F-I	
62:19:50 10	A.	Sefterlis (phonetic).
02:19:53 11	Q.	How do you spell that?
02:20:00 12	A.	I don't know. I don't know if I was
13	deposed in that one.	
02:20:00 14	Q.	Any others that you can think of?
02:20:10 15	A.	Not that I can recall right now.
92:20:15 16	Q.	If I gave you a copy of a transcript from
17	your King deposition, would you be able to recognize	
18	ít?	
02:20:25 19	A.	I may.
02:20:27 20	Q.	When did you give testimony in the King
21	case?	
02:20:31 22	A.	I don't recall when that was. The fall of
23	2003, summer of 2003.	
02:20:39 24	Q.	Do you recall that the King case involved a

ACCUPATE COURT REPORTING (613) 767-1806

1 Jefferson-Pilot disability insurance policy? 02:20:46 2 Yes, I do. Do you recall that it also involved, at 02.2047 3

least as part of an issue, his entitlement to benefits under the residual disability rider?

Page 30 of 30 118

Yes. I do.

4 5

8 9

10

14

15

16

19

20

22

23

24

02:21.47 21

az 21:35 17 18

02:20:57 6

02.20:58 7

02:21:11 11

02:21:14 12 13

Q. And do you recall that it involved not only his entitlement to benefits under the residual disability benefits rider, but the Social Security supplement rider as well?

A. I believe that was included in his policy.

Q. And are you mindful that the company, let's say it's Jefferson-Pilot for now, was asserting that it had mistakenly overpaid Mr. King the Social Security supplement benefit while he was on residual disability?

A. I believe in that claim we determined that Mr. King had provided inaccurate information and was in fact not entitled to any benefits that were paid throughout his claim.

Are you saying it wasn't an issue in the lawsuit that there was an overpayment of the Social Security disability benefits rider during the period when he was on residual disability?

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

(Exhibit 33, marked)

I believe it was an overpayment of all the

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) I'm going to hand you what I've marked as Exhibit 33, which is an affidavit you've prepared.

benefits and some portion of that may have been the

Social Security aspect.

62:21:58 1

02:22:03

2 3

4

5

6

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

19

21

02.22:26 12

02 22:34 16

02:22:52 18

62:22:37 20

02.23:00 22

02:23:02 23

02:23:05 24

62 22:13 8

MR. ELLIS: Excuse me. Again, I'm going to object. My understanding is that this information in the King case may be subject to a confidentiality order.

MR. ROBERTS: For the record, I know of no confidentiality order, protective order or otherwise regarding any documents relating to the King case.

Q. (By Mr. Roberts) Sir, is this an affidavit that you executed on or about March 19th of 2003?

A. I don't see a date. I see my signature. I'm sorry, yes, March 19.

Q. So just under two months ago you executed this affidavit?

A. 2003. It would have been a year --MR. ELLIS: Fourteen months ago.

A year ago.

02-23:06 1 02:23:07 2 02:23:09 3

> 02:24:04 20 21

> > 22

23 02:24.26 24

Q. I'm sorry, just over a year ago?

A.

Reading paragraph 5 of your affidavit, you have a big calculation there. Do you see that multifaceted calculation in the sum of 21,420?

Prior to the sentence that leads into that calculation there's a sentence that says, "The resulting overpayment is \$21,420"?

A. Yes.

Does that concern an overpayment of Social Security supplement benefits under the Social Security supplement rider during a period of time that Mr. King was claiming to be residually disabled?

A. Again, I believe it includes all the benefits, whether it was total disability benefits, base policy benefits, residual benefits, Social Security benefits. Everything was overpaid on the

Q. Have you been involved in any other situations where a Jefferson-Pilot policyholder was on residual disability and receiving a COLA adjustment that DMS determined to be erroneous?

A. Not that I'm aware of.

119

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING (413) 747-1806

120